

Daniel C. Girard (CA Bar No. 114826)
Dena C. Sharp (CA Bar No. 245869)
Adam E. Polk (CA Bar No. 273000)

GIRARD GIBBS LLP

601 California Street, 14th Floor
San Francisco, California 94108
Telephone: (415) 981-4800
Facsimile: (415) 981-4846
Email: dcg@girardgibbs.com
Email: chc@girardgibbs.com
Email: aep@girardgibbs.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

**IN RE TRANSPACIFIC PASSENGER
AIR TRANSPORTATION ANTITRUST
LITIGATION**

Civil Case No. 3:07-cv-05634-CRB-DMR

MDL No. 1913

Honorable Charles R. Breyer

This Document Relates to:

All Actions

**DECLARATION OF DENA C. SHARP IN
SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR
ATTORNEYS' FEES AND
REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES**

1 I, DENA C. SHARP, declare and state as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice in the Northern District of California and
3 am a partner at the law firm of Girard Gibbs LLP. I submit this declaration in support of
4 Plaintiffs' application for an award of attorneys' fees in connection with the services
5 rendered in this litigation. This declaration supplements my declaration of April 7, 2015
6 (ECF 987 -14) and sets forth work performed and expenses paid by my firm from February
7 21, 2015 to May 16, 2018. I make this declaration based on my own personal knowledge,
8 and if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the matters stated herein.

9 2. My firm has served as counsel to Plaintiffs throughout the course of this
10 litigation. The background and experience of Girard Gibbs and its attorneys are summarized
11 in the *curriculum vitae* attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

12 3. Girard Gibbs has prosecuted this litigation solely on a contingent-fee basis,
13 and has been at risk that it would not receive any compensation for prosecuting claims
14 against the Defendants. While Girard Gibbs devoted time and resources to this matter, it
15 necessarily diverted time and resources away from other pending matters.

16 4. From February 21, 2015 to May 16, 2018, Girard Gibbs assisted Co-Lead
17 Counsel in its analysis of documents and liability evidence pertaining to defendant China
18 Airlines, for whom Girard Gibbs had taken responsibility in discovery matters throughout the
19 litigation. Specifically, in response to a request from co-lead counsel, our firm reviewed
20 documents and deposition transcripts relating to China Airlines to assist Co-Lead Counsel's
21 preparation for mediation, and conferred with Co-Lead Counsel concerning our analysis. We
22 also prepared a supplemental memorandum addressing China Airlines' liability evidence,
23 including detailed summaries of depositions taken and relevant documents.

24 5. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is a summary of my firm's total hours and
25 lodestar, computed at historical rates, for the period of February 21, 2015 through May 16,
26 2018. The total number of hours spent by Girard Gibbs during this period of time was 17.30
27 hours, with a corresponding lodestar of \$10,226.00. Exhibit 2 was prepared based on
28 contemporaneous, daily time records regularly prepared and maintained by my firm. The

1 lodestar amount reflected in Exhibit 2 is for work assigned and/or approved by Co-Lead
2 Counsel, and was performed by professional staff at my law firm for the benefit of the Class.

3 6. The hourly rates for the attorneys and professional support staff in my firm
4 included in Exhibit 2 are the usual and customary hourly rates charged by Girard Gibbs
5 during that time frame.

6 7. My firm has expended a total of \$329.65 in unreimbursed costs and expenses
7 in connection with the prosecution of this litigation. These costs and expenses are broken
8 down in the chart attached hereto as Exhibit 3. They were incurred on behalf of Direct
9 Purchaser Plaintiffs by my firm on a contingent basis, and have not been reimbursed. The
10 expenses incurred in this action are reflected on the books and records of my firm. These
11 books and records are prepared from expense vouchers, check records and other source
12 materials and represent an accurate recordation of the expenses incurred.

13 8. I have reviewed the time and expenses reported by my firm in this case which
14 are included in this declaration, and I affirm that they are true and accurate.

15 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that
16 the forgoing is true and correct.

17 Executed this 31st day of July, 2018 at San Francisco, California.

18
19 _____
/s/ Dena C. Sharp

20 Dena C. Sharp
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT 1



Firm Resume

Girard Gibbs is a national litigation firm representing plaintiffs in class and collective actions in state and federal courts, and in arbitration matters worldwide. The firm serves individuals, institutions and business clients in cases involving consumer protection, securities, antitrust, personal injury, whistleblower laws, and employment laws.

Our clients range from individual consumers and small businesses to Fortune 100 corporations and public pension funds. We have recovered over a billion dollars on behalf of our clients in class actions and non- class cases. In addition to litigation, our firm also provides consulting and strategic counseling services to institutional clients and professionals in securities litigation, corporate governance and international business matters. We are committed to achieving favorable results for all of our clients in the most expeditious and economical manner possible.

Girard Gibbs has been distinguished as a Tier 1 law firm for plaintiffs' mass tort and class-action litigation in the "Best Law Firms" list in the survey published in the U.S. News & World Report's Money Issue. And *The National Law Journal (NLJ)* has named Girard Gibbs to its elite "Plaintiffs' Hot List," a selection of top U.S. plaintiffs' firms recognized for wins in high-profile cases.

Thirteen of the firm's attorneys have been selected as Northern California Super Lawyers and Rising Stars. Three of the firm's senior attorneys, Daniel Girard, Eric Gibbs, and Michael Danko, have additionally been recognized among the "Top 100 Super Lawyers" in Northern California, and were selected by their peers for *The Best Lawyers in America* 2012- 2017. *Best Lawyers* also designated Mr. Girard as the 2013 "Lawyer of the Year" in San Francisco for class action litigation. Mr. Girard and Mr. Gibbs have both earned *AV-Preeminent* ratings from Martindale-Hubbell, recognizing them in the highest class of attorneys for professional ethics and legal skills.

Partners

<i>Daniel Girard</i>	<i>p. 2</i>
<i>Eric Gibbs</i>	<i>p. 4</i>
<i>Dena Sharp</i>	<i>p. 6</i>
<i>Adam Polk</i>	<i>p. 7</i>
<i>Jordan Elias</i>	<i>p. 8</i>

Associates

<i>Simon Grille</i>	<i>p. 8</i>
<i>Scott Grzenczyk</i>	<i>p. 8</i>
<i>Emily Jenks</i>	<i>p. 9</i>
<i>Mani Khamvongsa</i>	<i>p. 9</i>
<i>Elizabeth Kramer</i>	<i>p. 9</i>
<i>Michael Marchese</i>	<i>p. 10</i>
<i>Angelica Ornelas</i>	<i>p. 10</i>
<i>Trevor Tan</i>	<i>p. 10</i>
<i>Tom Watts</i>	<i>p. 11</i>

Of Counsel

<i>David Berger</i>	<i>p. 11</i>
<i>Aaron Blumenthal</i>	<i>p. 11</i>
<i>Caroline Corbitt</i>	<i>p. 12</i>
<i>Michael Danko</i>	<i>p. 12</i>
<i>A.J. De Bartolomeo</i>	<i>p. 13</i>
<i>Dylan Hughes</i>	<i>p. 14</i>
<i>Amanda Karl</i>	<i>p. 15</i>
<i>John Kehoe</i>	<i>p. 15</i>
<i>Linda Lam</i>	<i>p. 16</i>
<i>Steve Lopez</i>	<i>p. 16</i>
<i>Karen Barth Menzies</i>	<i>p. 17</i>
<i>Kristine Meredith</i>	<i>p. 17</i>
<i>Robert Mosier</i>	<i>p. 18</i>
<i>Geoffrey Munroe</i>	<i>p. 19</i>
<i>Andre Mura</i>	<i>p. 19</i>
<i>Michael Schrag</i>	<i>p. 20</i>
<i>David Stein</i>	<i>p. 21</i>
<i>Steven Tindall</i>	<i>p. 21</i>
<i>Amy Zeman</i>	<i>p. 22</i>

RECOVERIES SIGNIFICANT

<i>False Advertising</i>	<i>p. 22</i>
<i>Defective Products</i>	<i>p. 24</i>
<i>Other Consumer</i>	<i>p. 25</i>
<i>Securities & Financial</i>	<i>p. 28</i>
<i>Mass Tort</i>	<i>p. 30</i>
<i>Employment</i>	<i>p. 30</i>
<i>Antitrust</i>	<i>p. 31</i>
<i>Government Reform</i>	<i>p. 31</i>

ATTORNEYS

Partners

Daniel Girard serves as the firm's managing partner and coordinates the prosecution of various consumer protection, securities, and antitrust legal matters handled by the firm. Some of Mr. Girard's recent case work includes serving as lead counsel and primary settlement negotiator in *In re Peregrine Group Customer Litigation* (\$70 million in client recoveries); *In re Sears Holdings Corp. Derivative Litigation* (\$40 million recovery); *In re Oppenheimer California Fund Securities Litigation* (\$50.75 million recovery); and *Billitteri v. Securities America, Inc.*, (\$150 million recovery). He served as a member of the Steering Committee in the Jay Peak EB-5 Visa Litigation (*Daccache v. Raymond James Financial, Inc.*) (\$150 million partial settlement). He also led *Paeste v. Government of Guam*, in which the Court entered an injunction reforming income tax refund payment procedures for the Territory of Guam.



His previous work includes serving as a member of the executive committee charged with managing *In re Lehman Brothers Holdings Securities and ERISA Litigation*, multidistrict proceedings arising out of the collapse of Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc., the largest bankruptcy in United States history. The Lehman litigation resulted in recoveries of over \$735 million. He served on the Executive Committee in the *Natural Gas Antitrust Cases I, II, III and IV* antitrust litigation against numerous natural gas companies for manipulating the market for natural gas in California. The *Natural Gas* litigation resulted in total settlements of nearly \$160 million.

Mr. Girard currently represents purchasers of universal life insurance in several pending matters, including as liaison counsel in *Larson v. John Hancock* in Alameda County, California Superior Court, where a \$59.75 million settlement is awaiting final approval. He represents investors in Woodbridge Mortgage Investments, a California-based enterprise that filed for bankruptcy after raising over \$1 billion in private securities sales. He serves as lead counsel in an action against Wells Fargo for violating the Telephone Consumer Protection Act. Mr. Girard is one the lead counsel in *In re Wal-Mart Stores Derivative Litigation*, representing CalSTRS in derivative litigation arising out of alleged violations of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. He also oversees the firm's work on several antitrust matters, including the *In re Capacitors Antitrust Litigation*. Mr. Girard has been retained by a range of clients in litigation and advisory matters, including the California Teachers' Retirement System, the California Public Employees Retirement System, Kansas Public Employees Retirement System, the State of Wisconsin Investment Board, the American Federation of Government Employees, Allianz of America, Inc., Fireman's Fund Insurance Company, and German photographer Gunter Sachs.

Mr. Girard was appointed by the Chief Justice William H. Rehnquist to serve on the United States Judicial Conference's Advisory Committee on Civil Rules in 2004 and reappointed for a second term by Chief Justice John G. Roberts in 2007. As a member of the Civil Rules Advisory Committee's Discovery Subcommittee, he participated in the Committee's drafting of amendments governing electronic discovery, summary judgment and expert discovery. In 2015, he was appointed by Chief Justice Roberts to serve on the Standing Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure. He is also a member of the American Law Institute. He served several terms on the Advisory Board of the Institute for the

Advancement of the American Legal System, a national, non-partisan organization dedicated to improving the process and culture of the civil justice system.

Mr. Girard is the co-author of *Limiting Evasive Discovery: A Proposal for Three Cost-Saving Amendments to the Federal Rules*, 87 DENV. U. L. REV. 473 (2010) and *Managez efficacement vos litiges d'affaires*, Extrait du magazine, Décideurs N°121, November 2010. Other published articles include: *Stop Judicial Bailouts*, The National Law Journal, December 1, 2008, and *Billions to Answer For*, Legal Times, September 15, 2008. His speaking engagements in the last five years include the following presentations: *Panelist for Class Action Settlements and Discovery presentations*, HB Litigation Conferences, May 3, 2016; *Panelist for Data Breach & Privacy presentation*, HB Litigation Conferences, February 11, 2016; *Panelist for "Hello 'Proportionality', Goodbye 'Reasonably Calculated'"*, Joint Conference of ABA Section of Litigation and Duke Law Center for Judicial Studies, January 28, 2016; *Invited Participant in Special MDL Conference*, Duke Law Center for Judicial Studies, October 8, 2015; *Co-panelist with Judge James P. O'Hara on Discovery Amendments to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure*; Kansas City Metropolitan Bar Association, D. Kan., and W. D. of Mo., September 17, 2015; *Panelist in Private Breakfast Seminar on Class Action Risk Mitigation Strategies*, Lazareff LeBars, September 22, 2015; *Invited Participant on Judicial Conference Advisory Committee on Civil Rules*, Rule 23 Mini-Conference, September 11, 2015; *Attorney Faculty in Managing Complex Litigation Workshop for US District Judges*, Federal Judicial Center, August 25-25, 2015; *Moderator and Panelist on panels addressing proposed Rule 23 amendments*, Class Action Settlement Conference, Duke Law Center for Judicial Studies, July 2015; *Panelist on Role of Consumer Class Actions in the Herbal Supplements Industry*, HarrisMartin's MDL Conference: Herbal Supplements Litigation, May 27, 2015; *Panelist on Transferee Judge Case Management*; Multidistrict Litigation Institute, Duke Law Center for Judicial Studies, April 9-10 2015; *Roundtable Participant on Settlement Class Actions*, George Washington University Law School, April 8, 2015; *Lessons from Recent Data Breach Litigation*, Western Trial Lawyers, February 26, 2015; *Speaker in Privacy & Cybersecurity Webinar*, State Bar of California, February 24, 2015; *Panelist on Preservation Issues*, Proportionality Discovery Conference, Duke Law Center for Judicial Studies, November 13-14, 2014; *Roundtable Participant on Public and Private Enforcement after Halliburton, ATP and Boilermakers*, Duke Law Center for Judicial Studies, September 26, 2014; *Co-panelist on Consolidation and Coordination in Generic Drug Cases*, HarrisMartin's Antitrust Pay for Delay Conference, September 22, 2014; *Guest Lecturer on Civil Litigation Seminar*, UC Berkeley, Hastings School of Law, September 18, 2014; *Panel Moderator on Selection and Appointment of Plaintiff's Steering Committee*, MDL Best Practices, Duke Law Center for Judicial Studies, September 11-12, 2014; *Panel on Shareholder Class Action Lawsuits under the New Companies Act*, Joint Conference of the Society of Indian Law Firms and the American Bar Association, Delhi, India, February 14-15, 2015; *Panelist on Symposium on Class Actions*, University of Michigan Law School Journal of Law Reform, March 2013; *Co-taught Seminar on Class Actions and Complex Litigation*, Duke University Law School, January 2013; *Recent Developments in U.S. Arbitration Law*, Conference on Business Law in Africa, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire, October 2012; *Bringing and Trying a Securities Class Action Case*, American Association for Justice 2012 Annual Convention, July 2012; *Panel on Class Actions*, U.S. Judicial Conference Standing Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure, Phoenix, January 2012; *Panel on Paths to (Mass) Justice*, Conference on Globalization of Class Actions and Mass Litigation, The Hague, December 2011; *Contentieux et Arbitrage International: les bons réflexes à acquérir (Litigation and International Arbitration: acquiring the right reflexes)*, Paris, France, March 2011; *Panel on Proposals for Rule Amendments and Preservation Obligations*, United States Judicial Conference Advisory Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure, January 2011.

Mr. Girard is a member of the Business Law Section of the American Bar Association. He is past Chair of the Business Law Section's Subcommittee on Class Actions, Co-Chair of the Business and Corporate Litigation Committee's Task Force on Litigation Reform and Rule Revision, and Vice-Chair of the Business and Corporate Litigation Committee. He has served as a guest lecturer on class actions and complex litigation at the UC Davis School of Law, UC Berkeley (Boalt Hall), UC Hastings College of the Law, Vanderbilt Law School and Stanford Law School.

Mr. Girard has been selected among *The Best Lawyers in America* (2012-2017) for his work in class action and securities litigation, and was also named 2013 "Lawyer of the Year" in San Francisco for Mass Tort Litigation/Class Actions - Plaintiffs. Mr. Girard has been consistently honored as a Northern California Super Lawyer (2007-2018), and has also earned the distinction of being included in the "Top 100 Super Lawyers" in Northern California. He has been named among the highest class of attorneys for professional ethics and legal skills with an *AV-Preeminent* rating by Martindale Hubbell, and was featured in the 2012 edition of San Francisco's Top AV-Preeminent Rated Lawyers.

He served as a member of the Board of Trustees of St. Matthew's Episcopal Day School in San Mateo, California from 2003-2008, including three years as board chair from 2005-2008. He served as a volunteer conservation easement monitor for the Peninsula Open Space Trust from 1991 to 2010.

Mr. Girard is a 1984 graduate of the School of Law, University of California at Davis, where he served as an editor of the Law Review. He received his undergraduate degree from Cornell University in 1979. Mr. Girard is a member of the California Bar and is admitted to practice before the United States Supreme Court.

Eric Gibbs specializes in the prosecution of consumer and employment class actions. Mr. Gibbs has served as court-appointed lead counsel, class counsel and liaison counsel in numerous class actions throughout the United States.

He has successfully prosecuted more than 75 class action matters, including cases involving defective products, telecommunications, credit cards, unfair competition, false advertising, truth-in-lending, product liability, credit repair, employment misclassification and wage and hour under both state and federal law. Some of the recent cases in which Mr. Gibbs served as court appointed class counsel and achieved favorable results for class members include *Smith vs. The Regents of the University of California* (negotiated a material change in UCSF's privacy practices on behalf of a certified class of current and former patients of the UCSF medical center for unlawful disclosure of confidential medical information); *In Re: Pre-Filled Propane Tank Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation* (negotiated cash reimbursements of up to \$75 per class member for the purchase of allegedly under-filled propane tanks), *Browne et al. v. American Honda Motor Co., Inc.* (negotiated class settlement providing for cash reimbursements of up to \$150 for rear brake pad replacement expenses in certain Honda and Acura vehicles), *Collado v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.* (negotiated a class settlement providing for a free warranty extension and cash reimbursements for many Prius owners who paid for headlight repairs), *In Re Mercedes-Benz Tele Aid Contract Litigation* (negotiated a class settlement providing for cash reimbursements of \$650, or new vehicle credits for up to \$1,300), *Parkinson v. Hyundai Motor America* (achieved nationwide class certification and settlement providing for cash reimbursements for certain flywheel / clutch parts repairs in 2003 Hyundai Tiburons), *Refuerzo v. Spansion LLC*, (negotiated more



than \$8.5 million in cash settlements on behalf of a certified class of former employees in a class action for violations of the WARN Act), *In Re General Motors Dex-Cool Cases* (negotiated cash reimbursements from \$50 to \$800 per class member vehicle repair), *Bacca v. BMW of North America* (negotiated reimbursement for sub-frame repair expenses and Nationwide Sub-frame Inspection and Repair Program), and *Piercy v. NetZero* (achieved nationwide class settlement providing cash reimbursements, and changes in billing and account practices). He conducted a two-week arbitration resulting in a liability and damages award on behalf of a certified class of current and former account representatives of Masco Retail Cabinet Group who alleged they were misclassified under the Fair Labor Standards Act. Mr. Gibbs was appointed as interim class counsel on the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee in *In re Chase Bank U.S.A., N.A. "Check Loan" Contract Litigation*, multidistrict litigation alleging that Chase Bank wronged consumers by offering them long-term fixed-rate loans, and then attempting to deny them the benefit of their bargain by more-than-doubling their loan payments. He led settlement negotiations in the case, which resulted in a \$100 million settlement with Chase eight weeks prior to trial. He also served as interim class counsel in *Milano v. Interstate Battery System of America, Inc.*, representing purchasers of automobile batteries in a breach of warranty action.

Other significant consumer class actions in which Mr. Gibbs acted in a leadership role include *Mitchell v. American Fair Credit Association* and *Mitchell v. Bankfirst, N.A.*, which generated one of the largest settlements in the United States under the credit services laws (over \$40 million); *Providian Credit Card Cases*, which resulted in one of the largest class action recoveries in the United States arising out of consumer credit card litigation (\$105 million); *In Re iPod Cases* (achieved settlement in California state-court class action alleging material misrepresentations with respect to iPods' battery life, and obtained warranty extensions, battery replacements, cash payments, and store credits for those class members who experienced an iPod battery failure), *Roy v. Hyundai Motor America* (negotiated nationwide class settlement providing for the repair of allegedly defective passenger-side airbags, reimbursement for transportation related expenses, and an alternative dispute resolution program allowing for trade-ins and buy-backs), *Paul v. HCI Direct* (achieved nationwide class certification and settlement on behalf of consumers charged for merchandise they allegedly did not knowingly order), *Kim v. BMW of North America* (negotiated nationwide class settlement providing for notification program and free vehicle repair related to defective passenger-side airbags), *In re LookSmart Litigation*, a nationwide class action settlement providing for cash and benefits valued at approximately \$20 million; and *Fantauzzo v. Razor*, where plaintiffs alleged that defendant marketed and sold electric scooters with defective stopping mechanisms, and the court approved a nationwide class action settlement providing for, among other remedies, a recall of the potentially defective electric scooters.

Mr. Gibbs has lectured on consumer class actions, including as a featured speaker addressing *Strategic Considerations Under CAFA following Supreme Court's Rulings in Shady Grove and Purdue* at the Bridgeport 9th Annual Class Action Litigation Conference; *Current Issues Arising in Attorney Fee Negotiations, Including Best Practices* at the 2010 AAJ Annual Convention; *Dealing With Objectors* at the Consumer Attorneys of California 3rd Annual Class Action Seminar; *What is a Class Action?* At the CAOC Annual Ski Seminar; *After the Class Action Fairness Act* at CAOC's 1st Annual Class Action Seminar; *Class Certification In Consumer Cases* for the Litigation Section of the Barristers Club of the San Francisco Bar Association; and *Successfully Obtaining Attorneys' Fees Under Fee-Shifting Statutes* for the Consumer Rights Section of the Barristers Club of the San Francisco Bar Association. Mr. Gibbs is the co-author of *Consumer Class Actions in the Wake of Daugherty v. American Honda Motor Company*, CAOC's Forum Magazine, January/February 2009.

Mr. Gibbs has been selected by his peers for inclusion in *The Best Lawyers in America* (2012-2017) for his work in Mass Tort Litigation/Class Actions, and honored as a Northern California Super Lawyer (2010-2017). He also earned the distinction of being included among the “Top 100 Super Lawyers” in Northern California. With an *AV-Preeminent* rating from Martindale-Hubbell, Mr. Gibbs has been named among the highest class of attorneys for professional ethics and legal skills, and was featured in the 2012 edition of San Francisco’s *Top AV-Preeminent Rated Lawyers*.

Mr. Gibbs is a member of the Board of Governors of the Consumer Attorneys of California, the Board of Governors of the American Association for Justice, the co-chair of AAJ’s Consumer Privacy and Data Breach Litigation Group, and is the former co-chair and editor of the Quarterly Newsletter for the Class Action Litigation Group of AAJ. He is also a member of the American Bar Association, the National Association of Consumer Advocates, the Alameda County Bar Association, and the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association.

Mr. Gibbs is a 1995 graduate of the Seattle University School of Law. He received his undergraduate degree from San Francisco State University in 1991. Before joining Girard Gibbs, he worked for two years as a law clerk for the Consumer Protection Division of the Washington Attorney General’s Office. He is a member of the California Bar.

Dena Sharp devotes her practice to representing plaintiffs in complex litigation throughout the United States. She currently leads the firm’s work as co-lead counsel in *In re Lidoderm Antitrust Litigation*, a “pay-for-delay” pharmaceutical antitrust case, and she serves on the End-Payer Plaintiffs’ Steering Committee in *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, which involves wide-ranging allegations of price fixing against numerous drug companies. She has played key roles in multidistrict litigation including *In re Capacitors Antitrust Litigation* and *In re Nexium Antitrust Litigation*.




Ms. Sharp managed the firm’s work in *In re Oppenheimer California Fund Securities Litigation* (\$50.75 million recovery), and was instrumental in the successful prosecution of other securities matters including *In re Lehman Brothers Holdings Securities and ERISA Litigation* (\$120 million recovery), *Billitteri v. Securities America, Inc.* (\$150 million recovery), and *In re SLM Corporation Securities Litigation* (\$35 million recovery).

Outside the courtroom, Ms. Sharp is a co-author of the widely-cited *Sedona Principles: Best Practices and Principles for Electronic Document Production (Third Edition)*. She serves on the board of directors of the Impact Fund, a public interest law non-profit organization that offers grants, advocacy and education to support litigation on behalf of marginalized communities. A Vice Chair of the Advisory Council for the Duke Law School Center for Judicial Studies, Ms. Sharp serves on the three-person editorial board of the influential *Duke Law Proportionality Guidelines and Best Practices*, and is co-author of a chapter in a forthcoming ABA book on class action practice.

Ms. Sharp is a graduate, *cum laude*, of the University of California, Hastings College of Law, where she was a member of the Thurston Society, received the Best Oral Advocate Award, and was the recipient of the Witkin award in her Legal Writing and Criminal Law courses. She received her undergraduate degree in history, *magna cum laude* from Brown University. During law school, Ms. Sharp

externed for the Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton of the Northern District of California, and the Honorable John E. Munter of the San Francisco Superior Court. She is fluent in Spanish and German, and is admitted to the California Bar.

Adam Polk is a partner at Girard Gibbs LLP. Adam devotes his practice to representing plaintiffs in complex securities, antitrust, and consumer class actions. Mr. Polk takes a client-focused approach to each matter he is involved with. His experience covers all aspects of civil litigation, from initial case investigation and complaint preparation through settlement or trial. He currently serves on the co-lead counsel team in *In re Nexus 6P Products Liability Litigation*, pending in the Northern District of California. Adam has taken a substantive role in several recent matters that have resolved favorably for his clients, including *Booth v. Strategic Retail Trust, Inc., et al.* (\$5 million settlement); *In re Sears Holdings Corporation Stockholder and Derivative Litigation* (\$40 million settlement); and *Daccache v. Raymond James Financial, Inc. et al.* (\$150 million partial settlement).



Prior to joining Girard Gibbs, Mr. Polk externed for Northern District of California Judges Sandra Brown Armstrong and Claudia Wilken and worked as an associate with a mid-sized regional firm where he represented both plaintiffs and defendants.

Adam is an active member of the American Bar Association's Class Action and Derivative Suits subcommittee, where he is a frequent contributor of written content regarding emerging issues in class action litigation. Mr. Polk has been selected by his peers as a Northern California Super Lawyer, Rising Star, every year since 2013.

Adam Polk is a 2010 graduate of the University of California, Hastings College of the Law.

Jordan Elias specializes in the prosecution of consumer and antitrust class actions. He has authored numerous briefs that resulted in favorable decisions to consumers, including *Pavoni v. Chrysler Group, LLC*, 789 F.3d 1095 (9th Cir. 2015); *In re Cipro Cases I & II*, 61 Cal. 4th 116 (2015); and *Sullivan v. DB Investments, Inc.*, 667 F.3d 273 (3d Cir. 2011) (en banc).

Before joining Girard Gibbs, Mr. Elias spent several years at Lief Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein where he pursued claims against monopolists and price-fixing cartels and against the nation's largest banks for deceptive practices. He also served as head writer for the plaintiffs in the wrongful death litigation against Toyota over its vehicles' sudden acceleration problems.



Early in his career, Jordan clerked for the late Judge Cynthia Holcomb Hall of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. He also successfully represented technology companies in securities and intellectual property litigation at Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati.

Mr. Elias currently serves on the San Francisco Bar Association's Executive Committee. He teaches continuing legal education courses for the American Law Institute, the Practising Law Institute, Strafford Publications, and Law Seminar International. His articles on antitrust and class action law have


appeared in American Bar Association and State Bar of California publications. Mr. Elias has been honored as a Northern California Super Lawyer every year since 2014, and in 2012 and 2013, he was recognized as a Rising Star. In 2016, he received a California Lawyer Attorney of the Year (CLAY) award.

Mr. Elias is a 2003 graduate of Stanford Law School, where he was a member of the Law Review. He received his undergraduate degree, *magna cum laude*, from Yale College in 1998. Mr. Elias is a member of the California Bar.

Associates

Simon S. Grille is committed to seeking justice for plaintiffs harmed by corporate misconduct. Prior to joining Girard Gibbs, Mr. Grille worked at a prominent Bay Area law firm where he represented victims of toxic exposure in complex civil litigation. Mr. Grille also has experience working in-house at a multinational company and as an extern for the Honorable Arthur S. Weissbrodt of the United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California.

Mr. Grille is a 2013 graduate of UCLA School of Law, where he was honored as a distinguished brief writer and an outstanding oral advocate in multiple moot court competitions. Mr. Grille also served as a Senior Articles Editor for the Entertainment Law Review. Mr. Grille received his undergraduate degree in Political Science from UC Berkeley in 2008.



Scott Grzenczyk specializes in the prosecution of complex antitrust, consumer protection, and employment matters. He plays a principal role in *In re Lidoderm Antitrust Litigation*, where the firm serves as co-lead counsel. Mr. Grzenczyk also plays an active role in the firm's prosecution of antitrust price-fixing cases involving the prescription drugs clobetasol, desonide, fluocinonide, and propranolol. He leads the firm's litigation efforts in *Crawford v. Government of Guam*, a class action pending in the United States District Court for the District of Guam in which the firm represents native inhabitants of Guam bringing Due Process and Equal Protection claims against the Government of Guam.

Mr. Grzenczyk has successfully litigated cases in every district court in California and successfully argued before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals in a key immigration case (*Singh v. Holder*, 638 F.3d 1196 (9th Cir. 2011)). He has been selected as a Rising Star by Northern California Super Lawyers (2013-2017), recognizing him as one of the best young attorneys practicing in Northern California.



Mr. Grzenczyk is a 2011 graduate of the University of California, Davis, School of Law, where he was the Chair of the Moot Court Board and the Executive Editor of the *UC Davis Journal of International Law and Policy*. He was the recipient of the Witkin Award for Legal Research and Writing, Best Brief and Best Advocate awards in his moot court class, and numerous awards at national moot court competitions. He was also a member of the Law School's national mock trial team and the law school faculty named him as a member of the Order of the Barristers. Mr. Grzenczyk received his undergraduate degree in

political science and certificate in political theory from Princeton University in 2006. Mr. Grzenczyk is admitted to the California Bar. He is also admitted to practice before the United States District Courts for the Northern, Central, Eastern and Southern Districts of California and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.


Emily Jenks is a 2010 graduate of the Santa Clara University School of Law, where she served as an Associate on the *Computer and High Technology Law Journal* and focused her studies on intellectual property and high tech law.

Ms. Jenks received her undergraduate degree in international relations with emphasis on global economy from San Francisco State University in 2005. Prior to joining Girard Gibbs, she managed large scale eDiscovery projects in antitrust, product liability, as well as bribery and corruption. Ms. Jenks is fluent in Japanese and is admitted to the California Bar.



Mani Khamvongsa focuses her practice on antitrust enforcement on behalf of class action plaintiffs harmed by corporate wrongdoing. In addition, she has experience with complex litigation matters concerning pharmaceuticals, telecommunications, and software. Previously, Ms. Khamvongsa worked at the U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, on criminal matters involving price fixing and bid rigging. She also investigated the merger of companies for anticompetitive market effects.

Ms. Khamvongsa graduated from the University of California, Hastings College of the Law, where she worked with the Refugee and Human Rights Clinic to obtain asylum for a victim of gender violence. She also interned for the Criminal Division of the U.S. Attorney's Office, the San Francisco District Attorney's Office, and the American Civil Liberties Union of Northern California. Before law school, she received her undergraduate degree from Oberlin College with a double major in Politics and Environmental Studies.



Ms. Khamvongsa is a member of the California Bar and admitted to practice before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit and the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California.

Elizabeth Kramer interned at Girard Gibbs for two consecutive summers while attending the University of San Francisco, School of Law, and joined the firm full time after graduating in 2013.

While at USF, Ms. Kramer was a member of the Investor Justice Clinic, representing elderly and low-income individuals before FINRA and in settlement negotiations to resolve alleged wrongdoing by securities firms. She recovered \$35,000 for clients during her tenure at the Clinic. Ms. Kramer was also on the board of the Women's Law Association as chair of community outreach. She graduated with honors from the University of California at Santa Cruz with a degree in Psychology. Ms. Kramer is admitted to the California Bar.




In 2016 and 2017, Ms. Kramer was honored as a Northern California
GIRARD GIBBS LLP FIRM RESUME

Super Lawyers Rising Star.

Michael Marchese is a 2015 graduate of the University of California, Hastings College of the Law.

Prior to joining Girard Gibbs, he completed a post-graduate fellowship in the Litigation Division of the Oakland City Attorney's Office. As a law student at UC Hastings, he interned at the California Coastal Commission and the Sierra Club, and was an Executive Editor of the Hastings Communications and Entertainment Law Journal.


He received his undergraduate degree with honors in Legal Studies in Business from Tulane University in 2012. Mr. Marchese is admitted to the California Bar.



Angelica Ornelas is a 2011 graduate of the University of California, Berkeley School of Law (Boalt Hall).

Prior to joining Girard Gibbs, Ms. Ornelas served as a judicial law clerk at the United States District Court for the Northern District of California and the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Nevada.

Ms. Ornelas also worked as a fellow at the California Monitor Program, a program developed by the California Attorney General's Office to oversee the implementation of the landmark \$25 billion National Mortgage Settlement.



Trevor Tan is a 2011 graduate of the University of Chicago Law School.

Before joining the firm, Mr. Tan was a judicial law clerk for a district judge at the United States District Court for the Central District of California and previously clerked for several judges of the Los Angeles County Superior Court.

Mr. Tan received his undergraduate degree with honors in political science from the University of California, Irvine in 2006.

During law school, Mr. Tan was an extern with the Honorable George H. Wu of the United States District Court for the Central District of California and a law clerk at the Illinois Attorney General. After graduating from law school, he was a fellow at the Young Center for Immigrant Children's Rights where he advocated on behalf of unaccompanied minors facing deportation.




Tom Watts is a graduate of the University of California, Berkeley, where he double-majored in Classical Languages and Astrophysics, and of Harvard Law School and Harvard Kennedy School, where he earned a J.D. magna cum laude and a Master's in Public Policy



During law school, Mr. Watts interned for the California Assembly Judiciary Committee, where he analyzed legal and policy issues relating to pending legislation. He also interned for Public Advocates, where he focused on educational equity advocacy, and the Santa Clara County Counsel's Impact Litigation and Social Justice Section, where he worked on consumer protection cases. Shortly before graduating, he interned for the U.S. Department of Justice's Civil Appellate Section, where he drafted briefs relating to novel issues of constitutional and administrative law. He also served as Executive Online Editor for the Harvard Law & Policy Review and taught introductory economics at Harvard College.

Of Counsel

David Berger is a 2008 graduate of Northwestern University School of Law. He competed on the Jessup Moot Court team and defended juveniles through the Bluhm Legal Clinic's Children and Family Justice Center. Prior to joining Girard Gibbs, Mr. Berger was a law clerk in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. He also spent several years litigating complex commercial and intellectual property cases at Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi in Minneapolis, Minnesota. There, Mr. Berger recovered millions of dollars for the State of Minnesota by proving that a chain of dentists submitted false claims to state-funded health plans. He represented people injured by the Interstate 35-W bridge collapse in victim compensation proceedings. He also represented inter-governmental organizations and companies in high-stakes commercial and intellectual property disputes.




Aaron Blumenthal represents consumers and whistleblowers in class action lawsuits involving allegations of corporate misconduct. He has prosecuted a variety of consumer protection cases ranging from false advertising to defective products. He is also involved in the investigation and development of new cases.

Aaron attended the University of California, Berkeley School of Law (Boalt Hall), where he graduated *Order of the Coif* (a distinction awarded only to the top 10 percent of the graduating class). In law school, Aaron worked on consumer issues— writing and publishing a law review article on the practical strategies for combatting class action waivers in a post-*Concepcion* world.



Caroline Corbitt is a 2015 graduate of the University of Southern California, Gould School of Law, where she served as Executive Editor of the Southern California Interdisciplinary Law Journal. Ms. Corbitt was a summer 2013 extern for the Honorable Laurel Beeler, Magistrate Judge of the United States District Court, Northern District of California. Ms. Corbitt has also externed at the Federal Trade Commission and the California Department of Justice, Antitrust Division.

Before law school, Ms. Corbitt worked in book publishing in San Francisco, California. She received her undergraduate degree in history and literature from Harvard University in 2009.



Michael S. Danko is a renowned trial lawyer with more than 25 years of legal experience. He represents individuals who have suffered catastrophic personal injuries, as well as families of wrongful death victims in cases involving product defects, defective medications and medical devices, airplane and helicopter accidents, and dangerous structures. He has tried cases in state and federal courts throughout the country, and has won numerous eight-figure verdicts on behalf of his clients.

Mr. Danko represents dozens of victims of a Pacific Gas & Electric gas explosion and serves on the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee in a California state coordinated proceeding *San Bruno Fire Cases*, JCCP No. 4648. He also serves on the Science Committee for Plaintiffs in *In Re Yasmin and Yaz (Drospirenone) Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation*, MDL No. 2100.



In 2009, he won a \$15 million jury verdict for a client injured by a defective aircraft part, which earned him a nomination for 2009 California Trial Lawyer of the Year by the Consumer Attorneys of California.

Mr. Danko's trial advocacy has helped bring about significant reforms and changes to corporate policies. As lead counsel in *In Re Deep Vein Thrombosis Litigation*, MDL No. 04-1606 (N.D. Cal.), he represented more than one hundred air travelers who suffered strokes, pulmonary emboli, or heart attacks as a result of airline-induced blood clots. He developed theories of liability and proof regarding the cause of his clients' injuries that led to virtually every major air carrier warning air travelers about the risks of deep vein thrombosis and measures to mitigate those risks. Mr. Danko also represented parents of children who were injured or killed by a popular candy made by a foreign manufacturer. His work in proving that the candy's unusual ingredients and consistency made it a choking hazard resulted in the candy being removed from Costco and Albertson's stores nationwide, and helped lead the FDA to ban the candy from further import into the United States.

He has been named a Northern California Super Lawyer each year since the award's inception in 2004. He is a *Lawdragon 500* finalist. In 2010, he was named one of the Best Lawyers in America. He is a member of the American Association for Justice, the Lawyer Pilots Bar Association and the Consumer Attorneys of California, where he serves on the board of governors. Mr. Danko received his AB degree from Dartmouth College, *magna cum laude*, in 1980, and earned his JD from the University of Virginia School of Law in 1983.

A.J. De Bartolomeo has more than twenty years of experience in complex litigation, including the prosecution and defense of class actions arising under the securities, communications, consumer protection and copyright laws. Her experience extends to the prosecution of pharmaceutical and medical device litigation as well as the collection of class action recoveries and claims administration in bankruptcy proceedings. She has served as court-appointed lead counsel and class counsel in several class actions throughout the United States, and presently serves as a member of the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee in three MDL mass tort actions.



Ms. De Bartolomeo served as Lead Counsel in *Telstar v. MCI, Inc.* (S.D.N.Y.) (achieved settlement for over \$2.8 million in cash on behalf of class of commercial subscribers alleging FCA violations), *Lehman v. Blue Shield* (Cal. Super. Ct. San Francisco County) (parties negotiated a settlement for over \$6.5 million in cash on behalf of class of subscribers overpaying insurance premiums), *Powers Law Offices v. Cable & Wireless, USA* (D. Mass.) (Bankr. D. Del.) (achieved settlement for over \$2.2 million in cash after Chapter 7 filing on behalf of Rule 23(b)(3) certified class of commercial customers alleging FCA violations), and *In re Cosmo Store Services*, (Bankr. C.D. Cal.) (achieved settlement for \$1 million in cash after Chapter 11 filing on behalf of class of unsecured creditor employees). Ms. De Bartolomeo has also held a leadership position in *In re American Express Advisors Securities Litigation* (S.D.N.Y.), *CALSTRS v. Quest Communications, et al.* (Cal. Super. Ct. San Francisco County), *Cromwell v. Sprint Communications* (D. Kan.), and *Brennan v. AT&T Corp.* (S.D. Ill.). Ms. De Bartolomeo served as second chair in *In re MCI Non-Subscriber Rates Litigation* (MDL, S.D. Ill.) (\$88 million settlement). From 2005 to 2008, A. J. De Bartolomeo served on the Discovery and Law Committees in the *In Re Medtronic, Inc. Implantable Defibrillators Product Liability Litigation*, MDL No. 05-1726 (JMR/AJB) (D. Minn.).

Ms. De Bartolomeo is currently court-appointed to the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee in the *Yaz & Yasmin* birth control litigation (MDL 2100) and she also serves as Co-Chair of the Law and Briefing Committee. She is also court-appointed to the Steering Committee in the *Pradaxa* blood thinner personal injury and product liability lawsuits (MDL 2385), coordinated in federal court in East St. Louis, as well as

Actos diabetes drug personal injury and product liability lawsuits (MDL 2299), coordinated in the Western District of Louisiana.

Ms. De Bartolomeo has been named among the highest class of attorneys for professional ethics and legal skills with an AV-Preeminent rating by *Martindale Hubbel*, and was honored as a *Northern California Super Lawyer* (2013). She is a member of the American Bar Association Sections on Litigation, Business Law and Communications, the American Bankruptcy Institute, Consumer Attorneys of California and the American Association for Justice. In July 2012, she was elected as an officer of the Women's Trial Lawyer Caucus of the American Association of Justice, and she currently serves as Second Vice-Chair. She also is also a former member of the National Association of Public Pension Attorneys, where she was an active participant in the Task Force on Securities Litigation and Damage Calculation, as well as a member of the Council of Institutional Investors.

Ms. De Bartolomeo has been invited to speak on consumer and securities class actions, mass tort actions, as well as the settlement approval process before plaintiff and defense law firms, institutional investors and government committees; most recently, for Bridgeport Continuing Education, the Women's Leadership Summit at the AAJ Annual Convention and the Fact-finding Mission to Class Actions in the United States, sponsored by the Japan Federation of Bar Associations and Kyoto Bar Association. She is the author of "*Facilitating the Class Action Approval Process*," AAJ's Women Trial Lawyers Caucus Newsletter, summer 2010.

Ms. De Bartolomeo is a 1988 graduate of the University of California, Hastings College of the Law. She received her undergraduate degree from Fairfield University in 1982, and a General Course degree in Economics from the University of London, London School of Economics and Political Science (1981). Before joining Girard Gibbs, Ms. De Bartolomeo was an associate with Robins Kaplan Miller & Ciresi and a Staff Attorney with the Securities and Exchange Commission (Enforcement Division). She is admitted to the California Bar. She also is admitted to practice before the United States Supreme Court, the United States Courts of Appeals for the First and Ninth Circuits, and the United States District Courts for the District of Michigan, the Southern District of Texas, the Eastern District of Wisconsin, and the Northern, Eastern, Central and Southern Districts of California.

Dylan Hughes specializes in the prosecution of consumer and employment class actions. He represents consumers in a variety of cases ranging from false advertising to defective products, and employees in misclassification and wage and hour cases under state and federal laws. Mr. Hughes has extensive experience prosecuting complex automobile-defect cases and helped achieve recoveries on behalf of class members in the *In Re General Motors Dex-Cool Cases* (settlement of \$50 to \$800 cash reimbursements per class member vehicle repair) and *In Re General Motors Cases*, a certified California state court class action against General Motors alleging violations of California's "Secret Warranty" law, California Civil Code § 1794.90 *et seq.* Mr. Hughes was also involved in the *Parkinson v. Hyundai Motor America* lawsuit, in which plaintiffs certified a nationwide class alleging Hyundai sold vehicles with defective flywheel systems, before ultimately reaching a favorable settlement for the class.



Mr. Hughes has been selected for inclusion in Northern California Super Lawyers every year since 2012. He is a 2000 graduate of the University of California, Hastings College of Law. He received his

undergraduate degree from the University of California at Berkeley in 1995. Mr. Hughes was a spring 2000 extern for the Honorable Charles A. Legge of the United States District Court, Northern District of California.

Before joining Girard Gibbs, Mr. Hughes was a law clerk for the Honorable Paul A. Mapes, Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Law Judges, United States Department of Labor. Mr. Hughes is a member of the American Bar Association, Consumer Attorneys of California, the Class Action Litigation Group of the American Association for Justice and the Consumer Rights Section of the Barristers Club. He is admitted to the California Bar and is admitted to practice before the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit as well as the United States District Courts for the Northern and Central Districts of California.

Amanda Karl represents consumers, employees and others who have been harmed by corporations. She is a 2014 graduate (Order of the Coif) of the University of California at Berkeley School of Law (Boalt Hall), where she served as the Managing Editor of the California Law Review, Director of the Workers' Rights Disability Law Clinic and Research Assistant to Professor Robert Berring, Jr. She also worked throughout law school as a Clinical Law Student at the East Bay Community Law Center, assisting with litigation targeting criminal record reporting violations, and as a law clerk at Equal Rights Advocates, where she worked on women's employment issues involving wage and hour law, pregnancy discrimination, ADA and Title VII. Ms. Karl received her undergraduate degree, magna cum laude, in Sociology and Human Rights from Columbia University in 2009.



Following graduation from law school, Ms. Karl served as a law clerk to the Honorable Richard A. Paez, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (2014-2015), and as a law clerk to the Honorable Claudia Wilken, Northern District of California (2015-2016).

John Kehoe prosecutes securities and financial fraud cases in federal and state courts on behalf of institutional and individual clients. He has served as lead counsel in a number of precedent-setting cases including *In re Bank of America Corporation Securities Litigation* (\$2.4 billion settlement); *In re Wachovia Preferred Securities and Bond/Notes Litigation* (\$627 million settlement); *In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation* (\$586 million settlement resolving 309 consolidated actions); *In re Lehman Brothers Securities and ERISA Litigation* (\$516 million settlement); and *In re Marvell Technology Group Ltd. Securities Litigation* (\$72 million settlement). He also had a significant prosecutorial role in *In re Brocade Securities Litigation* (\$160 million settlement).



John has represented clients before the Second and Eleventh Circuit Courts of Appeals, and he is active in merger and acquisition litigation before The Delaware Court of Chancery, including serving on the Executive Committee in *In re Safeway Stockholders Litigation*, through which value of the transaction to stockholders was increased by more than \$80 million.

Prior to attending law school, John worked as a law enforcement officer in the State of Vermont (1986-94), serving as a member of the tactical Special Reaction Team and the Major Accident

Investigation Team. He is a program faculty member with the National Institute of Trial Advocacy, and served as an adjunct faculty member with the Trial Advocacy Training Program at the Louisiana State University School of Law.

John is a frequent speaker at conferences focused on shareholder rights and corporate governance issues. He received his Juris Doctorate, *magna cum laude*, from Syracuse University College of Law. He also received a Masters of Public Administration from the University of Vermont, and Bachelor of Arts from DePaul University, where he was starting goalkeeper on the Division Isoccer team, and an exchange student to the University of Economics in Budapest, Hungary.

John is Of Counsel to Gibbs Law Group and a shareholder at Kehoe Law Firm.

Linda Lam focuses her practice on representing consumers, small businesses, and employees in complex contingency litigation. Before joining the firm, Ms. Lam was an associate attorney at a national employee benefits and employment law firm, where she represented workers and retirees.

Ms. Lam graduated *magna cum laude* from the University of California, Hastings College of the Law in 2014, where she was inducted into the Order of the Coif. In law school, Ms. Lam served as the Production Editor for the Hastings Race and Poverty Law Journal. She worked as a research assistant to Professor Reuel Schiller. Additionally, Ms. Lam worked on a team in the Refugee and Human Rights Clinic to win asylum status for a domestic violence victim from Mexico. In 2012, she externed for the Honorable Joseph Spero in the Northern District of California.



Steve Lopez is a 2014 graduate of the University of California at Berkeley School of Law (Boalt Hall), where he was a Publishing Editor for the California Law Review and an Editor for the Berkeley Journal of Employment and Labor Law. Mr. Lopez was also a member of the La Raza Law Students Association and the Legal Aid Society–Employment Law Center’s Berkeley Workers’

Rights Clinic, where he successfully argued a client’s unemployment insurance appeal in an administrative hearing. He was the recipient of the American Jurisprudence Award in Insurance Law, and the Prosser Prize in Remedies and Employee Benefit Law.



Before law school, Mr. Lopez performed research for a consulting firm specializing in improving justice programs. He received his undergraduate degree in economics and international relations from the University of Virginia in 2008.


Karen Barth Menzies is a nationally-recognized mass tort attorney with more than twenty years of experience in federal and state litigation. Courts throughout the country have appointed Karen to serve in leadership positions including Lead Counsel, Liaison Counsel and Plaintiff Steering Committee in some of the largest pharmaceutical and device mass tort cases. Karen currently serves in leadership positions in the Zolofit Birth Defect Litigation (federal and California state courts), Transvaginal Mesh Litigation (federal and California state courts), Fosamax Femur Fracture Litigation (California state court), Lexapro/Celexa Birth Defect Litigation Missouri state court).



Karen is particularly focused on women's health issues and drugs that cause harm to children. She currently represents women suffering permanent baldness following breast cancer chemotherapy treatments with Taxotere, and children who experienced severe side effects after taking the widely-prescribed medication Risperdal. Karen believes in advocating for drug safety and for the victims in the face of profit-driven corporations. She has testified twice before FDA advisory boards as well as the California State Legislature on the safety concerns regarding the SSRI antidepressants and the manufacturers' misconduct.

Karen frequently publishes and presents on issues involving drug safety, mass tort litigation, FDA reform and federal preemption for both legal organizations (plaintiff and defense) and medical groups.

Kristine Keala Meredith is a trial attorney specializing in product liability litigation. She served as co-lead counsel with Mr. Danko representing more than one hundred air travelers who suffered strokes, pulmonary emboli, or heart attacks as a result of airline-induced blood clots in *In Re Deep Vein Thrombosis Litigation*, MDL No. 1606.



Ms. Meredith served on the Law and Motion committee in *In Re Yasmin and Yaz (Drospirenone) Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation*, MDL No. 2100, where she assisted in the successful opposition to 15 *Daubert* motions in fewer than three weeks. Before devoting her practice to representing plaintiffs, Ms. Meredith worked on the national defense counsel teams for medical device manufacturers in multi-district litigation including *In re Silicone Gel Breast Implants Product Liability Litigation*, MDL No. 926, and *In re Orthopedic Bone Screw Product Liability Litigation*, MDL No. 1014. She also represented doctors and hospitals in defense of medical malpractice actions, where she worked with some of the world's leading medical experts.

In 2010, Ms. Meredith was named a Northern California Super Lawyer. She is currently an officer of the American Association for Justice and the San Mateo County Trial Lawyers Association. She is also a member of the San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association and the Consumer Attorneys of California. She is a former chair of the Minority Issues Committee of the San Francisco Bar Association Barrister Club.

She obtained her B.S. with honors from the University of California at Davis and was awarded a scholarship to attend Brigham Young University's J. Reuben Clark Law School. While in law school, she was awarded the Distinguished Student Service Award and spent a semester at Howard University Law School in Washington, D.C., as a member of the faculty/student diversity exchange.

Robert A. Mosier is of counsel to Gibbs Law Group LLP and managing attorney of Sanders Viener Grossman LLP's Los Angeles office.

Mr. Mosier's practice is almost exclusively focused on representing plaintiffs harmed by large pharmaceutical and medical device companies. He represents clients injured by Granuflo, Tylenol, Risperdal, Medtronic Infuse, Reglan Crestor, Pain Pumps, Transvaginal Mesh, DePuy ASR and Pinnacle Hips, Januvia, Byetta and Yaz. Mr. Mosier serves as court-appointed co-lead counsel and liaison counsel and on leadership committees in consolidated litigation throughout the United States.



Mr. Mosier currently serves as Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel in the Risperdal and Invega Product Liability Cases JCCP 4775 litigation, and as Plaintiff's Liaison Counsel in the In re Infusion Pain Pump JCCP 4615 litigation. Mr. Mosier is appointed to the Plaintiff's Steering Committee in the In Re Incretin Mimetics Product Liability Litigation MDL 2452, and the In Re Zolofit Birth Defect Cases JCCP4771.

Mr. Mosier is appointed to the Science Committee in the In re Fresenius Granuflo/Naturalyte Dialysate Products MDL 2428.


Prior to joining Sanders Viener Grossman as trial counsel and managing attorney, Mr. Mosier was a partner at McGregor & Mosier, where he obtained numerous multi-million dollar settlements for injured plaintiffs in medical malpractice, brain injury, birth injury, and other significant injury matters through trial. Mr. Mosier also represented victims involved in unique injury and death cases, including hot air balloon crashes, trucking deaths and molestation cases.

Before working to represent the rights of injured plaintiffs, Mr. Mosier represented hospitals, physicians, and medical providers accused of malpractice at one of California's preeminent medical malpractice defense firms. During his tenure as a defense attorney, Mr. Mosier gained invaluable insight and education into the practice of medicine, health care and medical insurance issues.

Mr. Mosier has held an AV Preeminent Attorney rating from Martindale Hubble since 2002, is a National Trial Lawyers – Top 100 Attorney, and an Arizona Top Rated Attorney – Top Trial Lawyers in America.

Mr. Mosier frequently speaks at national legal conventions on various issues involving mass tort litigation. He has prosecuted diverse appellate court issues, obtaining published opinions in the areas of constitutional law, separation of court jurisdiction and dischargeability of intentional tort claims. While working as a medical malpractice defense attorney, Mr. Mosier served as liaison counsel for the Orange County Medical Association/ Orange County Bar Association committee and was frequently invited to speak to hospitals and their staffs on medical/legal issues affecting doctor-patient care.

Geoffrey Munroe represents plaintiffs in high-profile class action and mass tort cases in both federal and state courts throughout the United States. He was selected as a Rising Star by Northern California Super Lawyers (2010-2014), recognizing him as one of the best young attorneys practicing in Northern California, and as a Northern California Super Lawyer in 2015. He is the co-author of "*Consumer Class Actions in the Wake of Daugherty v. American Honda Motor Company*," CAOC's Forum Magazine, January/February 2009, and a frequent contributor to the Class Action Litigation Group Newsletter of the American Association for Justice.



Mr. Munroe is a 2003 graduate of the University of California at Berkeley School of Law (Boalt Hall), where he was the recipient of the American Jurisprudence Award in Torts, Business Law & Policy and Computer Law. He received his undergraduate degree in chemistry from the University of California at Berkeley in 2000. Mr. Munroe is a member of the Public Justice Class Action Preservation Project Committee, the Class Action Litigation Group of the American Association for Justice and the Consumer Attorneys of California. He is a member of the California Bar and is admitted to practice before the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, as well as the United States District Courts for the Northern, Central and Southern Districts of California.

Andre Mura represents plaintiffs in class action and complex litigation concerning consumers' and workers' rights, products liability, drug and medical devices, federal jurisdiction, and constitutional law. Prior to joining Gibbs Law Group LLP, Mr. Mura was senior litigation counsel at the Center for Constitutional Litigation PC, where he represented plaintiffs in high-stakes appeals and complex litigation in state supreme courts and federal appellate courts. Mr. Mura also authored briefs filed in the U.S. Supreme Court, at both the petition and merits stages, and argued dispositive motions in trial courts nationwide.



Recently, Mr. Mura successfully opposed Wal-Mart's motion to dismiss in *Reynolds v. Wal-Mart* (N.D. Fla.), a putative class action in federal court concerning deceptive food labeling. Before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, sitting en banc, Mr. Mura also recently represented plaintiffs injured by propoxyphene, an ingredient found in Darvocet and Darvon pain relief drugs and generic pain relievers.

Mr. Mura's advocacy before the U.S. Supreme Court includes *J. McIntyre Machinery, Ltd. v. Nicastro*, 131 S. Ct. 2780 (2011), for which he drafted merits briefing addressing whether personal jurisdiction exists over a foreign manufacturer. Mr. Mura was the lead author of an amicus curiae brief for the American Association for Justice and Public Justice in *Mutual Pharmaceutical Co., Inc. v. Bartlett*, 133 S. Ct. 2466 (2013), a case examining whether federal drug safety law preempts state-law liability for defectively designed generic drugs. In *Qwest Services Corp. v. Blood*, 132 S. Ct. 1087 (2012), Mr. Mura was counsel of record for plaintiffs in opposing Supreme Court review of an \$18 million punitive damages award. SCOTUSblog, the blog of the Supreme Court of the United States, selected Mr. Mura's petition for certiorari in *Malaterre v. Amerind Risk Management Corp.*, No. 11-441 as "Petition of the Day."

Before the Missouri Supreme Court in *Watts v. Lester E. Cox Medical Centers*, 376 S.W.3d 633 (Mo. 2012), Mr. Mura successfully argued that a state law limiting compensatory damages in medical malpractice cases violated his client's constitutional right to trial by jury. In ruling in favor of Mr. Mura's client, the high court agreed to overturn a 20-year-old precedent. In *Texaco, Inc. & Chevron Corp. v. Simon*, Mr. Mura argued before the Mississippi Supreme Court in a case concerning Texaco's and Chevron's liability for pregnant women's exposure to leaded gas. The case settled favorably after oral argument but before decision.

Mr. Mura is a member of the American Bar Association (ABA) Tort Trial and Insurance Practice Section (TIPS) Plaintiffs Policy Task Force. He serves as vice-chair of the ABA-TIPS Appellate Advocacy Committee and as chair of the ABA-TIPS Supreme Court Monitoring Subcommittee. Mr. Mura is a member and former co-chair of the Young Lawyers Committee of the National Center for State Courts, as well as a member of the American Association for Justice and the Consumer Attorneys of California. He served as an executive member of the moot court board while attending The George Washington University Law School.

Michael Schrag has nearly 20 years of experience representing individual and small business plaintiffs in complex class actions against large corporations in litigation concerning banking, credit cards, telecommunications, and real estate. Mr. Schrag has also successfully litigated product liability, personal injury, medical malpractice, employment, and contingent breach of contract cases.



Mr. Schrag currently serves as Co-Lead Counsel in *Beaver v. Tarsadia Hotels*, in which the court granted plaintiffs' summary judgment on the issue of liability in a large unfair competition class action against real estate developers. Mr. Schrag also represents a putative class of small business owners in a RICO and fraud class action against insurer AIG. The court recently denied AIG's motion to dismiss.

Mr. Schrag served as Co-Lead Counsel in *Ammari v. Pacific Bell Directory*, representing consumers who overpaid an AT&T subsidiary for advertising in Yellow Pages directories. Plaintiffs prevailed at trial and on two appeals to obtain a \$27 million judgment for class members, a result the *National Law Journal* deemed as one of the top 100 verdicts in 2009.

Mr. Schrag has helped initiate and prosecute several class actions against Visa, MasterCard, and major U.S. banks, such as Chase and Bank of America, for failing to disclose and fixing the price of currency conversion fees charged to cardholders using credit and debit cards abroad. After prevailing at trial in *Schwartz v. Visa, et. al.*, plaintiffs were successful in obtaining a \$336 million global settlement for the class in *In re Currency Conversion Fee Antitrust Litigation* (MDL No. 1409).

Mr. Schrag helped recover over \$10 million on behalf of his clients in *In Re Sulzer Hip Prosthesis and Knee Prosthesis Liability Litigation*, a multidistrict litigation that awarded a total of \$1 billion to patients who received defective hip implants.

Mr. Schrag is a 1996 graduate of the University of California at Berkeley School of Law (Boalt Hall) and received his undergraduate degree in 1989 from Columbia College at Columbia University. Mr. Schrag began his career prosecuting securities class actions and serving as a law clerk to the Honorable Judith N. Keep, U.S. District Judge, Southern District of California. Before joining Gibbs Law Group, Mr.

Schrag was a partner and co-founder of Meade & Schrag, LLP, where he prosecuted class actions and also litigated personal injury, medical malpractice, breach of contract, and business litigation matters.

David Stein specializes in representing plaintiffs in consumer protection and financial fraud cases.

Mr. Stein helped generate a \$25 million settlement in an automobile defect lawsuit involving Honda and Acura vehicles, and cash reimbursements for purchasers of Prius vehicles in a lawsuit against Toyota. Currently, Mr. Stein is one of the attorneys serving as court-appointed Lead Counsel who are representing consumers against Ford Motor Company in a lawsuit alleging that the 2013 Ford Fusion Hybrid and C-MAX Hybrid vehicles do not achieve the MPG rating that Ford advertised.



Mr. Stein is also representing investors in a lawsuit against U.S. Bank arising from the collapse of Peregrine Financial Group, Inc. In two settlements the former Peregrine customers have recovered more than \$70 million as a result of Peregrine's collapse. Prior to the Peregrine litigation, Mr. Stein helped secure a judgment against the Government of Guam and several of its highest ranking officials in a suit involving the government's unlawful administration of income tax refunds.

For the last three years Mr. Stein has been named a Rising Star by Northern California Super Lawyers. Before joining Girard Gibbs in 2009, Mr. Stein served as judicial law clerk to U.S. District Court Judge Keith Starrett and U.S. Magistrate Judge Karen L. Hayes, and published the article, *Wrong Problem, Wrong Solution: How Congress Failed the American Consumer*, 23 Emory Bankr. Dev. J. 619 (2007).

Steven Tindall has represented plaintiffs in employment and class action litigation for nearly twenty years. His experience extends to a wide array of complex employment matters, including individual and class action lawsuits involving employee misclassification, wage and hour claims, sexual harassment, discrimination, retaliation, WARN Act, FCRA, and ERISA violations. He has represented employees against large corporations in a variety of industries including technology, financial services, construction, transportation, and private education.



Steven clerked for Hon. Judith N. Keep of the United States District Court for the Southern District of California and for Hon. Claudia Wilken of the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. Prior to joining Gibbs Law Group, he was a partner at Rukin Hyland Doria & Tindall, and at Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein. He previously focused on plaintiffs' class action litigation in the fields of wage and hour law, antitrust, and consumer protection. Steven has also litigated a number of mass tort personal injury and toxic tort cases.

Steven received his B.A. degree in English Literature from Yale University, graduating summa cum laude, Phi Beta Kappa, and with distinction in his major. He earned his J.D. degree from the

University of California at Berkeley School of Law (Boalt Hall) in 1996. While at Boalt, Steven co-directed the East Bay Workers' Rights Clinic.

Amy Zeman represents clients in a wide variety of medical mass tort matters, including individuals harmed by transvaginal mesh, the birth-control medications Yaz and Yasmin, the diabetes drug Actos, the anti-psychotic medication Risperdal, and the Mirena intrauterine device, among others. Ms. Zeman also represents consumers in class action litigation, with experience working closely with class representatives and consumer contacts and participating in all stages of litigation. Ms. Zeman has been involved in successful actions against Chase Bank, Ducati, and Dish Network, among others. Super Lawyers Magazine recognized Ms. Zeman as a Rising Star in 2013 and 2014.



Prior to attending law school, Ms. Zeman pursued a career in the financial sector. Ms. Zeman served the members of the Marin County Federal Credit Union for almost seven years, acting as the Accounting and Compliance Manager. She is a 2010 graduate, *magna cum laude*, of the University of California, Hastings College of Law, where she was a member of the Thurston Society and served on the *Hastings Law Journal*. She received her undergraduate degrees in German and Art History and Archaeology, *summa cum laude*, from the University of Missouri in 1998. Ms. Zeman was a spring 2010 extern for the Honorable Marilyn Hall Patel of the United States District Court, Northern District of California. She was selected as a Rising Star by Northern California Super Lawyers (2013), recognizing her as one of the best young attorneys practicing in Northern California. Ms. Zeman is admitted to the California Bar.

SIGNIFICANT RECOVERIES

Some of the cases in which the firm has had a leadership role are described below:

False Advertising & Deceptive Marketing

In re Hyundai and Kia Horsepower Litigation, No. 02CC00287 (Cal. Super. Ct. Orange County). Girard Gibbs served as lead counsel in this coordinated nationwide class action against Hyundai for falsely advertising the horsepower ratings of more than 1 million vehicles over a ten year period. The case was aggressively litigated on both sides over several years. In all, over 850,000 Hyundai owners received notice of the settlement, which provided cash and other benefits, and which was had an estimated value of as much as \$125 million.

In re Chase Bank USA, N.A. "Check Loan" Contract Litigation, No. 09-2032 (N.D. Cal.). Girard Gibbs and several other firms led this nationwide class action lawsuit alleging deceptive marketing and loan practices by Chase Bank USA, N.A. After a nationwide class was certified, U.S. District Court Judge Maxine M. Chesney granted final approval of a \$100 million settlement on behalf of Chase cardholders.

Hyundai and Kia Fuel Economy Litigation, No. 2:13-ml-2424 (C.D. Cal.). In a lawsuit alleging false advertising in connection with the fuel efficiency of various Hyundai and Kia models, the court appointed Eric Gibbs as liaison counsel. The firm regularly reported to the Court, coordinated a wide-

ranging discovery process, and advanced the view of over twenty-five firms seeking relief under the laws of over twenty states. Ultimately Mr. Gibbs helped negotiate a revised nationwide class action settlement with an estimated value of up to \$120 million.

In re Providian Credit Card Cases, J.C.C.P. No. 4085 (Cal. Super. Ct. San Francisco County). Girard Gibbs served as court-appointed co-lead counsel in this nationwide class action suit brought on behalf of Providian credit card holders. The lawsuit alleged that Providian engaged in unlawful, unfair and fraudulent business practices in connection with the marketing and fee assessments for its credit cards. The Honorable Stuart Pollack approved a \$105 million settlement, plus injunctive relief—one of the largest class action recoveries in the United States arising out of consumer credit card litigation.

In re MCI Non-Subscriber Telephone Rates Litigation, MDL Docket No. 1275 (S.D. Ill.). This class action lawsuit was brought on behalf of MCI subscribers charged various rates and surcharges instead of the lower rates MCI had advertised. Ten cases were consolidated for pretrial proceedings before the Honorable David R. Herndon, U.S. District Judge for the Southern District of Illinois. Judge Herndon appointed Girard Gibbs as co-lead counsel for the consolidated actions. On March 29, 2001, Judge Herndon granted final approval of a settlement for over \$90 million in cash.

Skold v. Intel Corp., No. 1-05-CV-039231 (Cal. Super. Ct., Santa Clara Cty.) Girard Gibbs represented Intel consumers through a decade of hard-fought litigation, ultimately certifying a nationwide class under an innovative “price inflation” theory and negotiating a settlement that provided refunds and \$4 million in cy pres donations. In approving the settlement, Judge Peter Kirwan wrote: “It is abundantly clear that Class Counsel invested an incredible amount of time and costs in a case which lasted approximately 10 years with no guarantee that they would prevail.... Simply put, Class Counsel earned their fees in this case.”

Steff v. United Online, Inc., No. BC265953, (Los Angeles Super. Ct.). This nationwide class action suit was brought against NetZero, Inc. and its parent, United Online, Inc., by former NetZero customers. Plaintiffs alleged that defendants falsely advertised their internet service as unlimited and guaranteed for a specific period of time. The Honorable Victoria G. Chaney of the Los Angeles Superior Court granted final approval of a settlement that provided full refunds to customers whose services were cancelled and which placed restrictions on Defendants’ advertising.

Stoddard v. Advanta Corp., No. 97C-08-206-VAB (Del. Superior Ct.). This nationwide class action lawsuit was brought on behalf of cardholders who were promised a fixed APR for life in connection with balance transfers, but whose APR was then raised pursuant to a notice of change in terms. The Honorable Vincent A. Bifferato appointed the firm as co-lead counsel and approved a \$7.25 million settlement.

Khaliki v. Helzberg’s Diamond Shops, Inc., No. 11-0010-CV-W-NKL (W.D. Mo.). Girard Gibbs and co-counsel represented consumers who alleged deceptive marketing in connection with the sale of princess-cut diamonds. The firms achieved a positive settlement, which the court approved, recognizing “that Class Counsel provided excellent representation” and achieved “a favorable result relatively early in the case, which benefits the Class while preserving judicial resources.” The court went on to recognize that “Class Counsel faced considerable risk in pursuing this litigation on a contingent basis, and obtained a favorable result for the class given the legal and factual complexities and challenges presented.”

In re: Tyson Foods Inc., Chicken Raised Without Antibiotics Consumer Litigation, No. RDB-08-1982 (D. Md.). Girard Gibbs served as Class Counsel on behalf of consumers who purchased chicken products that were alleged to have been misleadingly labeled as “raised without antibiotics.” After discovery, counsel negotiated a \$5 million settlement that required Tyson to pay cash to class members and make a substantial cy pres contribution to food banks.

Defective Products

In re iPod Cases, JCCP No. 4355 (Cal. Super. Ct. San Mateo Cty). Girard Gibbs, as court appointed co-lead counsel, negotiated a settlement that provided warranty extensions, battery replacements, cash payments, and store credits for class members who experienced battery failure. In approving the settlement, the Hon. Beth L. Freeman said that the class was represented by “extremely well qualified” counsel who negotiated a “significant and substantial benefit” for the class members.

Sugarman v. Ducati North America, Inc., No. 5:10-cv-05246-JF (N.D. Cal.). Girard Gibbs served as class counsel on behalf of Ducati motorcycle owners who the fuel tanks on their motorcycles degraded and deformed due to incompatibility with the motorcycles’ fuel. In January 2012, the Court approved a settlement that provided an extended warranty and repairs, writing, “The Court recognizes that class counsel assumed substantial risks and burdens in this litigation. Representation was professional and competent; in the Court’s opinion, counsel obtained an excellent result for the class.”

Parkinson v. Hyundai Motor America, No. CV 8:06-0345 (C.D. Cal.). Girard Gibbs served as class counsel in this class action featuring allegations that the flywheel and clutch system in certain Hyundai vehicles was defective. After achieving nationwide class certification, Girard Gibbs negotiated a settlement that provided for reimbursements to class members for their repairs, depending on their vehicle’s mileage at time of repair, from 50% to 100% reimbursement. The settlement also provided full reimbursement for rental vehicle expenses for class members who rented a vehicle while flywheel or clutch repairs were being performed. After the settlement was approved, the court wrote, “Perhaps the best barometer of ... the benefit obtained for the class ... is the perception of class members themselves. Counsel submitted dozens of letters from class members sharing their joy, appreciation, and relief that someone finally did something to help them.”

In Re Medtronic, Inc. Implantable Defibrillators Product Liability Litigation, MDL No. 05-1726 JMR (D.Minn.). Girard Gibbs served on the discovery and law committees and provided legal, discovery, and investigative support in this lawsuit, following a February 2005 recall of certain models of Medtronic implantable cardioverter defibrillator devices. Approximately 2,000 individual cases were filed around the country and consolidated in an MDL proceeding in District Court in Minnesota. The cases were settled in 2007 for \$75 million.

Browne v. Am. Honda Motor Co., Inc., No. CV 09-06750 (C.D. Cal.). Girard Gibbs and co-counsel served as class counsel, representing plaintiffs who alleged that about 750,000 Honda Accord and Acura TSX vehicles were sold with brake pads that wore out prematurely. Girard Gibbs negotiated a settlement in which improved brake pads were made available and class members who had them installed could be reimbursed. The settlement received final court approval in July 2010 and provided an estimated value of approximately \$25 million.

In Re General Motors Dex-Cool Cases., No. HG03093843 (Cal. Super Ct. Alameda Cty). In these class action lawsuits filed throughout the country, plaintiffs alleged that General Motors’ Dex- Cool

engine coolant damaged certain vehicles' engines, and that in other vehicles, Dex-Cool formed a rusty sludge that caused vehicles to overheat. After consumer classes were certified in both Missouri and California, General Motors agreed to cash payments to class members nationwide. On October 27, 2008, the California court granted final approval to the settlement.

Roy v. Hyundai Motor America, No. SACV 05-483-AHS (C.D. Cal.). Girard Gibbs served as court appointed co-lead counsel in this nationwide class action suit brought on behalf of Hyundai Elantra owners and lessees, alleging that an air bag system in vehicles was defective. Girard Gibbs helped negotiate a settlement whereby Hyundai agreed to repair the air bag systems, provide reimbursement for transportation expenses, and administer an alternative dispute resolution program for trade-ins and buy-backs. In approving the settlement, the Honorable Alicemarie H. Stotler presiding, described the settlement as "pragmatic" and a "win-win" for all involved.

Other Consumer Protection Recoveries

Mitchell v. American Fair Credit Association, No. 785811-2 (Cal. Super. Ct. Alameda Cty); ***Mitchell v. Bankfirst, N.A.***, No. C-97-1421-MMC (N.D. Cal.). This class action lawsuit was brought on behalf of California members of the American Fair Credit Association (AFCA). Plaintiffs alleged that AFCA operated an illegal credit repair scheme. The Honorable James Richman certified the class and appointed the firm as class counsel. In February 2003, Judge Ronald Sabraw of the Alameda County Superior Court and Judge Maxine Chesney of the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California granted final approval of settlements valued at over \$40 million.

In Re Mercedes-Benz Tele Aid Contract Litigation, MDL No. 1914, CV No. 07-2720-DRD (D.N.J.), Girard Gibbs and co-counsel served as co-lead class counsel on behalf of consumers who were not told their vehicles' navigation systems were on the verge of becoming obsolete. Counsel successfully certified a nationwide litigation class, before negotiating a settlement valued between approximately \$25 million and \$50 million. In approving the settlement, the court acknowledged that the case "involved years of difficult and hard-fought litigation by able counsel on both sides" and that "the attorneys who handled the case were particularly skilled by virtue of their ability and experience."

In re America Online Spin-Off Accounts Litigation, MDL No. 04-1581-RSWL (C.D. Cal.). Girard Gibbs served as court-appointed co-lead counsel in this nationwide class action suit brought on behalf of America Online subscribers who were billed for a second account without their knowledge, authorization or consent. The litigation settled for \$25 million and changes in AOL's billing and account practices.

In re LookSmart Litigation, No. 02-407778 (Cal. Super. Ct. San Francisco Cty). This nationwide class action suit was brought against LookSmart, Ltd. on behalf of LookSmart's customers who paid an advertised "one time payment" to have their web sites listed in LookSmart's directory, only to be later charged additional payments to continue service. Plaintiffs' claims included breach of contract and violation of California's consumer protection laws. On October 31, 2003, the Honorable Ronald M. Quidachay granted final approval of a nationwide class action settlement providing cash and benefits valued at approximately \$20 million.

In re America Online, Inc. Version 5.0 Software Litigation, MDL Docket No. 1341 (S.D. Fla.). Girard Gibbs served as co-lead counsel in this MDL proceeding, which centralized 45 class actions. The action involved alleged violations of state consumer protection statutes, the Computer Fraud and Abuse

Act, and federal antitrust laws based on AOL's distribution of its Version 5.0 software upgrade. The Honorable Alan S. Gold granted final approval to a \$15.5 million cash settlement on August 1, 2002.

In re PayPal Litigation, No. C-02-1227-JF (PVT) (N.D.Cal., S.J. Div. 2002). Girard Gibbs served as co-lead counsel in this nationwide class action alleging violations of California consumer protection statutes and the Electronic Funds Transfer Act (EFTA). The plaintiffs alleged that PayPal unlawfully restricted access to consumers' PayPal accounts. On September 24, 2004, Judge Fogel granted final approval to a settlement valued at \$14.35 million in cash and returned funds, plus injunctive relief to ensure compliance with the EFTA.

Powers Law Offices, P.C. v. Cable & Wireless USA, Inc., No. 99-CV-12007-EFH (D. Mass 1999). In this class action brought on behalf of cable and wireless subscribers overcharged for recurring and incorrect fees, Girard Gibbs prosecuted the case from 1999 through 2005. On October 27, 2005, Judge Harrington granted final approval of the \$8 million settlement and the bankruptcy court approved the 30% distribution from the unsecured creditors' fund of the bankruptcy liquidation proceeds.

Lehman v. Blue Shield of California, No. CGC-03-419349 (Cal. Super. Ct. San Francisco County). In this class action lawsuit alleging that Blue Shield engaged in unlawful, unfair and fraudulent business practices when it modified the risk tier structure of its individual and family health care plans, a \$6.5 million settlement was negotiated on behalf of former and current Blue Shield subscribers residing in California. The Honorable James L. Warren granted final approval of the settlement in March 2006.

Telestar v. MCI, Inc., No. C-05-Civ-10672-JGK (S.D.N.Y.). This class action was brought on behalf of MCI commercial subscribers who were charged both interstate and intrastate fees for the same frame relay on prorate line service during the same billing period. On April 17, 2008, the Honorable John G. Koeltl granted final approval of a settlement for over \$2.8 million in cash.

Wixon v. Wyndham Resort Development Corp., No. C-07-02361 JSW (BZ) (N.D. Cal.). Girard Gibbs served as class and derivative counsel in this litigation brought against a timeshare developer and the directors of a timeshare corporation for violations of California state law. Plaintiffs alleged that the defendants violated their fiduciary duties as directors by taking actions for the financial benefit of the timeshare developer to the detriment of the owners of timeshare interests. On September 14, 2010, Judge White granted approval of a settlement of the plaintiffs' derivative claims.

Berrien, et al. v. New Raintree Resorts, LLC, et al., No. CV-10-03125 CW (N.D. Cal.). Girard Gibbs filed this class action on behalf of timeshare owners, challenging the imposition of unauthorized special assessment fees. On November 15, 2011, the Parties reached a proposed settlement of the claims asserted by the Plaintiffs on behalf of all class members who were charged the special assessment. On March 13, 2012, the Court issued its Final Class Action Settlement Approval Order and Judgment, approving the proposed settlement.

Benedict, et al. v. Diamond Resorts Corporation, et al., No. CV 12-00183-DAE (D. Hawaii). Girard Gibbs filed this class action on behalf of timeshare owners, challenging the imposition of an unauthorized special assessment fee. On November 6, 2012, the parties reached a proposed settlement of the claims asserted by the plaintiffs on behalf of all class members who were charged the special assessment. On June 6, 2013, the Court approved the settlement.

Allen Lund Co., Inc. v. AT&T Corp., No. C 98-1500-DDP (C.D. Cal.). This class action lawsuit was brought on behalf of small businesses whose long-distance service was switched to Business Discount Plan, Inc. Girard Gibbs was appointed class counsel by the Honorable Dean D. Pregerson. The settlement, providing for full cash refunds and free long-distance telephone service, was approved in December 1999.

Mackouse v. The Good Guys - California, Inc., No. 2002-049656 (Cal. Super Ct. Alameda Cty). This nationwide class action lawsuit was brought against The Good Guys and its affiliates alleging violations of the Song-Beverly Warranty Act and other California consumer statutes. The Plaintiff alleged that The Good Guys failed to honor its service contracts, which were offered for sale to customers and designed to protect a customer's purchase after the manufacturer's warranty expired. In May 9, 2003, the Honorable Ronald M. Sabraw granted final approval of a settlement that provides cash refunds or services at the customer's election.

Mager v. First Bank of Marin, No. CV-S-00-1524-PMP (D. Nev.). This nationwide class action was brought on behalf of people who were enrolled in First Bank of Marin's credit card program. In May 2002, the Judge Pro of the U.S. District Court for the District of Nevada approved a settlement providing for cash and non-cash benefits to class members.

Whitaker v. Health Net of Cal., Inc., et al., No. 2:11-cv-00910-KJM-DAD (E.D. Cal.) and ***Shurtleff v. Health Net of Cal., Inc.***, No. 34-2012-00121600-CU-CL (Cal. Super Ct. Sacramento Cty). Girard Gibbs served as co-lead counsel in this patient privacy case. On June 24, 2014, the court granted final approval of a settlement that provided class members with credit monitoring, established a \$2 million fund to reimburse consumers for related identity theft incidents, and instituted material upgrades to and monitoring of Health Net's information security protocols.

Smith v. Regents of the University of California, San Francisco, No. RG-08-410004 (Cal. Super Ct. Alameda Cty). Girard Gibbs represented a patient who alleged that UCSF's disclosure of its patients' medical data to outside vendors violated California medical privacy law. The firm succeeded in negotiating improvements to UCSF's privacy procedures on behalf of a certified class of patients of the UCSF medical center. In approving the stipulated permanent injunction, Judge Stephen Brick found that "plaintiff Smith has achieved a substantial benefit to the entire class and the public at large."

In re Countrywide Financial Corp. Customer Data Security Breach Litigation, No. 3:08-MD-01988 (W.D. Ky.). Girard Gibbs served as a member of the executive committee representing a class of millions of customers and potential customers of Countrywide whose personal information was stolen by a former Countrywide employee and then sold to other mortgage lenders. The class settlement provided for free credit monitoring, reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses incurred as a result of the theft, and reimbursement of up to \$50,000 per class member for identity theft losses.

In re Sony BMG CD Technologies Litigation, No. 1:05-cv-09575-NRB (S.D.N.Y.). Girard Gibbs served as co-lead counsel in this class action for violation of the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1030, *et seq.* on behalf of millions of consumers who purchased SONY BMG music compact discs encoded with digital rights management software which limited CD functionality and acted as spyware on the users' computers. Judge Naomi Reice Buchwald granted approval to a settlement that provided for a nationwide recall of certain CDs, the dissemination of software utilities to remove the offending DRM, cash and other compensation for consumers, and injunctive relief governing SONY BMG's use of DRM.

In re H&R Block Express IRA Litigation, MDL No. 1786 (W.D. Mo.). Girard Gibbs served as co-lead counsel in this MDL involving H&R Block's marketing and sale of its "Express IRA" investment products. The firms negotiated a coordinated settlement with the New York Attorney General that provided class members with more than \$19 million in cash (resulting in a full recovery for consumers) and non-cash benefits entitling Express IRA holders to convert their investments to alternative IRAs with lower fees.

In re Adobe Systems, Inc. Privacy Litigation, No. 5:13-cv-05226-LHK (N.D. Cal.): Girard Gibbs was appointed as lead counsel in this consolidated litigation on behalf of consumers who asserted privacy and consumer fraud claims arising from a 2013 data breach. In September 2014, Girard Gibbs obtained a pivotal ruling when the court denied Adobe's motion to dismiss for lack of standing, ruling that the Supreme Court's opinion in *Clapper v. Amnesty International USA*, 133 S. Ct. 1138 (2013), did not change existing standing jurisprudence. 66 F. Supp. 3d 1197 (N.D. Cal. 2014). Before this opinion, many data breach defendants had obtained dismissals for lack of standing based on *Clapper*. The Adobe ruling has been followed by a number of district courts, and most recently by the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals in *Remijas v. Neiman Marcus Group, LLC*. 794 F.3d 688, 693-94 (7th Cir. 2015).

Securities and Financial Recoveries

In re Digex, Inc. Shareholder Litigation, Consol. Case No. 18336 (Del. Ch. Ct. 2000). Girard Gibbs represented the Kansas Public Employees Retirement System, one of two institutional lead plaintiffs in this lawsuit, in which minority shareholders of Digex, Inc. sued to enjoin MCI WorldCom's planned acquisition of a controlling interest in Digex through a merger with Intermedia Communications, Inc. In a settlement approved by Delaware Chancery Court on April 6, 2000, a fund consisting of \$165 million in MCI WorldCom stock and \$15 million in cash was secured for Digex shareholders, as well as non-cash benefits valued at \$450 million.

Billitteri v. Securities America, Inc., No. 3:09-cv-01568-F (N.D. Tex.). Girard Gibbs served as lead counsel in an action against broker-dealer Securities America, Inc. and its corporate parent, Ameriprise, Inc. in connection with sales of investments in the Provident Royalties and Medical Capital investment schemes. Mr. Girard coordinated negotiations resulting in a \$150 million settlement, with \$80 million allocated to class plaintiffs represented by Girard Gibbs and \$70 million allocated to individual investors who had initiated arbitration proceedings. The settlements returned over 40% of investment losses.

In re Lehman Brothers Equity/Debt Securities Litigation, No. 08-Civ-5523 (S.D.N.Y. 2008). Girard Gibbs was appointed class counsel for a certified class of retail investors in structured products sold by UBS Financial Services, Inc., following the collapse of Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc., the largest bankruptcy in United States history. The plaintiffs alleged that UBS misrepresented Lehman's financial condition and failed to disclose that the "principal protection" feature of many of the notes depended upon Lehman's solvency. Girard Gibbs negotiated a settlement that established a \$120 million fund to resolve the claims.

In re Prison Realty Securities Litigation, No. 3:99-0452 (M.D. Tenn.). Girard Gibbs served as co-lead counsel in this securities class action brought against a real estate investment trust and its officers and directors relating to a merger between Corrections Corporation of America and CCA Prison Realty Trust. On February 13, 2001, the Court granted final approval to a settlement for over \$120 million in cash and stock.

In re American Express Financial Advisors Securities Litigation, No. 04-cv-01773-DAB (S.D.N.Y.). Girard Gibbs served as co-lead counsel in this class action, brought on behalf of individuals who bought financial plans and invested in mutual funds from American Express Financial Advisors. The case alleged that American Express steered its clients into underperforming “shelf space funds” to reap kickbacks and other financial benefits. On July 13, 2007, the Court granted final approval to a cash settlement of \$100 million in addition to other relief.

Scheiner v. i2 Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:01-CV-418-H (N.D. Tex.). Girard Gibbs represented lead plaintiff, the Kansas Public Employees Retirement System, and served as co-lead counsel on behalf of investors in i2 Technologies. The Honorable Barefoot Sanders approved cash settlements for \$88 million from the company, its officers and its former auditor, Arthur Andersen LLP. As part of the settlement, i2 agreed to institute significant corporate governance reforms.

In re Peregrine Financial Group Customer Litigation, No. 415546 (Cal. Super. Ct. S.F. County). Girard Gibbs served as co-lead counsel for futures and commodities investors who alleged they lost millions of dollars in the collapse of Peregrine Financial Group, Inc. The case resulted in settlements with JPMorgan Chase & Co. and U.S. Bank N.A., totaling approximately \$60 million.

CalSTRS v. Qwest Communications, et al., No. 415546 (Cal. Super. Ct. S.F. County). Girard Gibbs represented the California State Teachers Retirement System in this opt-out securities fraud case against Qwest Communications, Inc. and certain of its officers and directors, as well as its outside auditor Arthur Andersen. The case resulted in a precedent-setting \$45 million settlement for California school teachers.

In re SLM Corp. Securities Litigation, No. 08-Civ-1029-WHP. Girard Gibbs served as lead counsel representing investors of SLM Corporation in litigation alleging that Sallie Mae, the leading provider of student loans in the U.S., misled the public about its financial performance in order to inflate the company’s stock price. After achieving nationwide class certification, Girard Gibbs negotiated a settlement that established a \$35 million fund to resolve investors’ claims.

In re Winstar Communications Securities Litigation, No. 01 Civ. 11522 (S.D.N.Y.) Girard Gibbs represented Allianz of America, Inc., Fireman’s Fund and other large private institutional investors against Grant Thornton and other defendants arising out of plaintiffs’ investments in Winstar Communications, Inc. The firm achieved a settlement on the eve of trial that provided a recovery rate more than 30 times higher than what class members received in a related class action. The recovery (after attorney fees) returned a remarkable 78.5% of the losses plaintiffs may have recovered at trial.

In re Total Renal Care Securities Litigation, No. 99-01750 (C.D. Cal.). This securities fraud action arose out of restatement of earnings by a healthcare provider, brought under the PSLRA by the Louisiana Teachers’ Retirement System and the Louisiana School Employees’ Retirement System. The case settled for \$25 million and issuer’s commitment to adopt comprehensive corporate governance reforms. Girard Gibbs served as liaison counsel.

In re Oxford Tax Exempt Fund Securities Litigation, No. WMN-95-3643 (D. Md.). Girard Gibbs served as co-lead counsel in this class and derivative litigation brought on behalf of a real estate limited partnership with assets of over \$200 million. Settlement providing for exempt issuance of securities under

section 3(a)(10) of Securities Act of 1933, public listing of units, and additional settlement benefits valued at over \$10 million approved January 31, 1997.

Calliott v. HFS, Inc., No. 3:97-CV-0924-L (N.D. Tex.). Girard Gibbs intervened on behalf of an institutional client in this securities class action arising out of bankruptcy of Amre, Inc., a seller of home remodeling and repair services. Girard Gibbs was designated lead plaintiff's counsel under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act. Settlements for \$7.3 million were approved August 1999 and December 2000.

In re Towers Financial Corporation Noteholders Litigation, MDL No. 994 (S.D.N.Y.). This class action was brought against promoters and professionals associated with a failed investment scheme described by the SEC as the then "largest Ponzi scheme in U.S. history." The case resulted in \$6 million in partial settlements, and a \$250 million judgment entered against four senior Towers executives. Girard Gibbs served as liaison counsel and as a plaintiffs' executive committee member. *See In re Towers Financial Corporation Noteholders Litigation*, 177 F.R.D. 167 (S.D.N.Y. 1997) ("class counsel—particularly Plaintiffs' Liaison counsel, Daniel Girard—has represented the plaintiffs diligently and ably in the several years that this litigation has been before me").

Mass Tort

In re Actos (Pioglitazone-Products Liability Litigation), MDL No. 6:11-md-2299 (W.D. La.). Girard Gibbs lawyers were among those court-appointed to the Plaintiffs Steering Committee and also served on the Daubert and Legal Briefing Committees, in litigation that resulted in a \$2.37 billion settlement.

In re Yasmin and Yaz (Drospirenone) Marketing, Sales, Practices and Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 2385, No. 3:09-md-02100-DRH-CJP (S.D. Ill.). Girard Gibbs attorneys were appointed to the Plaintiffs Steering Committee and served as Co-Chair of the Plaintiffs' Law and Briefing Committee, in litigation ultimately resulting in settlements worth approximately \$1.6 billion.

In re Pradaxa (Dabigatran Etexilate) Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 2385, No. 3:12-md-02385-DRH-SCW (S.D. Ill.), Girard Gibbs lawyers were appointed by the court to the Plaintiffs Steering Committee in mass tort litigation that resulted in settlements worth approximately \$650 million.

Employment

Mitchell v. Acosta Sales, LLC, No. 11-1796 (C.D. Cal. 2011). Girard Gibbs and co-counsel served as class counsel representing Acosta employees who alleged that they were required to work off-the-clock and were not reimbursed for required employment expenses. Girard Gibbs helped negotiate a \$9.9 million settlement for merchandiser employees who were not paid for all the hours they worked. The Court granted final approval of the settlement in September 2013.

Rubaker v. Spansion, LLC, No. 09-842 (N.D. Cal. 2009). Girard Gibbs and co-counsel filed a class action lawsuit on behalf of former Spansion employees that alleged that the company had failed to provide terminated employees from California and Texas with advance notice of the layoff, as required by the Workers Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act (WARN Act). The bankruptcy court approved

the class action settlement negotiated by Girard Gibbs and co-counsel in 2010. The settlement was valued at \$8.6 million and resulted in cash payments to the former employees.

Antitrust

In re TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation, MDL 1827 (N.D. Cal.). Girard Gibbs serves as liaison counsel in this multi-district antitrust litigation against numerous TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) manufacturers alleging a conspiracy to fix prices, which has achieved settlements of more than \$400 million to date.

In re Natural Gas Antitrust Cases I, II, III and IV, J.C.C.P. No. 4221 (Cal. Super. Ct. San Diego Cty). Girard Gibbs served in a leadership capacity in this coordinated antitrust litigation against numerous natural gas companies for manipulating the California natural gas market, which has achieved settlements of nearly \$160 million.

Government Reform

Paeste v. Government of Guam, No. 1:11-cv-0008 (D. Guam). Girard Gibbs and co-counsel served as Class Counsel in litigation alleging the Government of Guam had a longstanding practice of delaying tax refunds for years on end. After certifying a litigation class, Plaintiffs prevailed on both of their claims at the summary judgment stage, and obtained a permanent injunction reforming the government's administration of tax refunds.

Ho v. San Francisco Unified School District, No. C-94-2418-WHO (N.D. Cal.). This civil rights action was brought on behalf of a certified class of San Francisco public school students of Chinese descent to terminate racial and ethnic quotas imposed under 1983 desegregation consent decree. *See Ho v. San Francisco Unified Sch. Dist.*, 965 F. Supp. 1316 (N.D. Cal. 1997), *aff'd* 147 F.3d 854 (9th Cir. 1998); *see also* 143 Cong. Rec. S6097, 6099 (1997) (statement of United States Senator Hatch referring to testimony of class representative before Senate Judiciary Committee).

EXHIBIT 2

EXHIBIT 2**GIRARD GIBBS LLP**

Hours Reported and Lodestar on a Historical Basis

February 21, 2015 through May 16, 2018

NAME	TOTAL HOURS	HOURLY RATE	LODESTAR
ATTORNEY HOURS			
Daniel C. Girard (P)	0.2	\$925.00	\$185.00
Dena C. Sharp (P)	5.0	\$665.00	\$3,325.00
Dena C. Sharp (P)	0.4	\$695.00	\$278.00
Adam E. Polk (P)	11.5	\$550.00	\$6,325.00
Adam E. Polk (P)	0.2	\$565.00	\$113.00
NON-ATTORNEYS			
			\$0.00
TOTAL:	17.3		\$10,226.00

(P) Partner
 (OC) Of Counsel
 (SA) Senior Associate
 (A) Associate
 (SPL) Senior Paralegal
 (PL) Paralegal
 (LC) Law Clerk

EXHIBIT 3

EXHIBIT 3

GIRARD GIBBS LLP

Expenses Incurred

February 21, 2015 through May 16, 2018

EXPENSE CATEGORY	AMOUNT INCURRED
Computer Research (Lexis, Westlaw, PACER, etc.)	\$53.60
Photocopies – In House	\$194.70
Travel (Meals and Lodging)	\$36.92
Travel (Airfare and Ground Travel)	\$44.43
TOTAL:	\$329.65

Susan G. Kupfer (CBO#141724)
GLANCY PRONGAY & Murray LLP
1808 Sixth Street
Berkeley, CA 94710
(415) 972-8160
skupfer@glancylaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

**IN RE TRANSPACIFIC PASSENGER
AIR TRANSPORTATION ANTITRUST
LITIGATION**

Civil Case No. 3:07-cv-05634-CRB-DMR

MDL No. 1913

Honorable Charles R. Breyer

This Document Relates to:

All Actions

**DECLARATION OF SUSAN G. KUPFER
IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR
ATTORNEYS' FEES AND
REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES**

1 I, Susan G. Kupfer, declare and state as follows:

2 1. I am a partner of the law firm of Glancy Prongay & Murray LLP. I submit this
3 declaration in support of Plaintiffs' application for an award of attorneys' fees in connection
4 with the services rendered in this litigation. I make this declaration based on my own personal
5 knowledge, and if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the matters
6 stated herein.

7 2. My firm has served as counsel to Plaintiff Rufus Browning during the course
8 of this litigation. The background and experience of the Glancy firm and its attorneys are
9 summarized in the *curriculum vitae* attached as Exhibit 1.

10 3. Glancy Prongay & Murray has prosecuted this litigation solely on a
11 contingent-fee basis, and has been at risk that it would not receive any compensation for
12 prosecuting claims against the Defendants. While the Glancy Firm devoted its time and
13 resources to this matter, it necessarily had to take time and resources away from some other
14 pending matters.

15 4. During the pendency of the litigation, the Glancy firm performed the
16 following work:

17 EXPERT WORK

18 Worked with lead counsel team on economic expert and theories of impact
19 and damages for Class Certification motion and Opposition to Motion for Summary
20 Judgment.

21
22 5. Attached as Exhibit 2 is my firm's total hours and lodestar, computed at
23 historical rates, for the period of February 21, 2015 through May 16, 2018. The total number
24 of hours spent by the Glancy firm during this period of time was 4.25, with a corresponding
25 lodestar of \$3,591.25. This summary was prepared from contemporaneous, daily time records
26 regularly prepared and maintained by my firm. The lodestar amount reflected in Exhibit 2 is
27 for work assigned and/or approved by Co-Lead Counsel, and was performed by professional
28

1 staff at my law firm for the benefit of the Class.

2 6. The hourly rates for the attorneys and professional support staff in my firm
3 included in Exhibit 2 are the usual and customary hourly rates charged by the Glancy Firm
4 during that time frame.

5 7. My firm has expended a total of \$0 in unreimbursed costs and expenses in
6 connection with the prosecution of this litigation during this time frame.

7 8. The Glancy Firm has paid a total of \$22,500 in assessments for the joint
8 prosecution of the litigation against the Defendants during the pendency of the litigation.

9 9. I have reviewed the time and expenses reported by my firm in this case which
10 are included in this declaration, and I affirm that they are true and accurate.

11 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that
12 the forgoing is true and correct.

13 Executed this 28th day of July, 2018 at Berkley, California.

14 
15

16 SUSAN G. KUPFER
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT 1



New York Office

230 PARK AVENUE
SUITE 530
NEW YORK, NY 10169
TELEPHONE (212) 682-5340
FACSIMILE (212) 884-0988

LOS ANGELES OFFICE

1925 CENTURY PARK EAST
SUITE 2100
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067
TELEPHONE (310) 201-9150
FACSIMILE (310) 201-9160

SAN FRANCISCO AREA OFFICE

1808 SIXTH STREET
BERKELEY, CA 94710
TELEPHONE (415) 972-8100
FACSIMILE (415) 972-8100

FIRM RESUME

Glancy Prongay & Murray LLP (the "Firm") has represented investors, consumers and employees for over 25 years. Based in Los Angeles, with offices in New York City and Berkeley, the Firm has successfully prosecuted class action cases and complex litigation in federal and state courts throughout the country. As Lead Counsel or as a member of Plaintiffs' Counsel Executive Committees, the Firm has recovered billions of dollars for parties wronged by corporate fraud and malfeasance. Indeed, the Institutional Shareholder Services unit of RiskMetrics Group has recognized the Firm as one of the top plaintiffs' law firms in the United States in its Securities Class Action Services report for every year since the inception of the report in 2003. The Firm's efforts have been publicized in major newspapers such as the *Wall Street Journal*, the *New York Times*, and the *Los Angeles Times*.

Glancy Prongay & Murray's commitment to high quality and excellent personalized services has boosted its national reputation, and we are now recognized as one of the premier plaintiffs' firms in the country. The Firm works tenaciously on behalf of clients to produce significant results and generate lasting corporate reform.

The Firm's integrity and success originate from our attorneys, who are among the brightest and most experienced in the field. Our distinguished litigators have an unparalleled track record of investigating and prosecuting corporate wrongdoing. The Firm is respected for both the zealous advocacy with which we represent our clients' interests as well as the highly-professional and ethical manner by which we achieve results. We are ideally positioned to interpret securities litigation, consumer litigation, antitrust litigation, and derivative and corporate takeover litigation. The Firm's outstanding accomplishments are the direct result of the exceptional talents of our attorneys and employees.

Appointed as Lead or Co-Lead Counsel by judges throughout the United States, Glancy Prongay & Murray has achieved significant recoveries for class members. The Firm is also involved in the representation of individual investors in court proceedings throughout the United States and in arbitrations before the American Arbitration

Association, National Association of Securities Dealers, New York Stock Exchange, and Pacific Stock Exchange. Mr. Glancy has successfully represented litigants in proceedings against such major securities firms and insurance companies as A.G. Edwards & Sons, Bear Stearns, Merrill Lynch & Co., Morgan Stanley, PaineWebber, Prudential, and Shearson Lehman Brothers.

One of the Firm's unique skills is the use of "group litigation" - the representation of groups of individuals who have been collectively victimized or defrauded by large institutions. This type of litigation brought on behalf of individuals who have been similarly damaged often provides an efficient and effective economic remedy that frequently has advantages over the class action or individual action devices. The Firm has successfully achieved results for groups of individuals in cases against major corporations such as Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, and Occidental Petroleum Corporation.

Glancy Prongay & Murray LLP consists of the following attorneys:

PARTNERS

LEE ALBERT, a partner, was admitted to the bars of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, the State of New Jersey, and the United States District Courts for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and the District of New Jersey in 1986. He received his B.S. and M.S. degrees from Temple University and Arcadia University in 1975 and 1980, respectively, and received his J.D. degree from Widener University School of Law in 1986. Upon graduation from law school, Mr. Albert spent several years working as a civil litigator in Philadelphia, PA. Mr. Albert has extensive litigation and appellate practice experience having argued before the Supreme and Superior Courts of Pennsylvania and has over fifteen years of trial experience in both jury and non-jury cases and arbitrations. Mr. Albert has represented a national health care provider at trial obtaining injunctive relief in federal court to enforce a five-year contract not to compete on behalf of a national health care provider and injunctive relief on behalf of an undergraduate university.

Currently, Mr. Albert represents clients in all types of complex litigation including matters concerning violations of federal and state antitrust and securities laws, mass tort/product liability and unfair and deceptive trade practices. Some of Mr. Albert's current major cases include *In Re Automotive Wire Harness Systems Antitrust Litigation* (E.D. Mich.); *In Re Heater Control Panels Antitrust Litigation* (E.D. Mich.); *Kleen Products, et al. v. Packaging Corp. of America* (N.D. Ill.); and *In re Class 8 Transmission Indirect Purchaser Antitrust Litigation* (D. Del.). Previously, Mr. Albert had a significant role in *Marine Products Antitrust Litigation* (C.D. Cal.); *Baby Products Antitrust Litigation* (E.D. Pa.); *In re ATM Fee Litigation* (N.D. Cal.); *In re Canadian Car Antitrust Litigation* (D. Me.); *In re Broadcom Securities Litigation* (C.D. Cal.); and has worked on *In re Avandia Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation* (E.D. Pa.); *In re Ortho Evra Birth Control Patch Litigation* (N.J. Super. Ct., Middlesex County); *In re AOL Time Warner, Inc. Securities Litigation* (S.D.N.Y.); *In re WorldCom*,

Inc. Securities Litigation (S.D.N.Y.); and *In re Microsoft Corporation Massachusetts Consumer Protection Litigation* (Mass. Super. Ct.).

JOSEPH D. COHEN has extensive complex civil litigation experience, and currently oversees the firm's settlement department, negotiating, documenting and obtaining court approval of the firm's securities, merger and derivative settlements.

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Cohen successfully prosecuted numerous securities fraud, consumer fraud, antitrust and constitutional law cases in federal and state courts throughout the country. Cases in which Mr. Cohen took a lead role include: *Jordan v. California Dep't of Motor Vehicles*, 100 Cal. App. 4th 431 (2002) (complex action in which the California Court of Appeal held that California's Non-Resident Vehicle \$300 Smog Impact Fee violated the Commerce Clause of the United States Constitution, paving the way for the creation of a \$665 million fund and full refunds, with interest, to 1.7 million motorists); *In re Geodyne Res., Inc. Sec. Litig.* (Harris Cty. Tex.) (settlement of securities fraud class action, including related litigation, totaling over \$200 million); *In re Cmty. Psychiatric Centers Sec. Litig.* (C.D. Cal.) (settlement of \$55.5 million was obtained from the company and its auditors, Ernst & Young, LLP); *In re McLeodUSA Inc., Sec. Litig.* (N.D. Iowa) (\$30 million settlement); *In re Arakis Energy Corp. Sec. Litig.* (E.D.N.Y.) (\$24 million settlement); *In re Metris Cos., Inc., Sec. Litig.* (D. Minn.) (\$7.5 million settlement); *In re Landry's Seafood Rest., Inc. Sec. Litig.* (S.D. Tex.) (\$6 million settlement); and *Freedman v. Maspeth Fed. Loan and Savings Ass'n*, (E.D.N.Y) (favorable resolution of issue of first impression under RESPA resulting in full recovery of improperly assessed late fees).

Mr. Cohen was also a member of the teams that obtained substantial recoveries in the following cases: *In re: Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litig.* (S.D.N.Y.) (partial settlements of approximately \$2 billion); *In re Washington Mutual Mortgage-Backed Sec. Litig.* (W.D. Wash.) (settlement of \$26 million); *Mylan Pharm., Inc. v. Warner Chilcott Public Ltd. Co.* (E.D. Pa.) (\$8 million recovery in antitrust action on behalf of class of indirect purchasers of the prescription drug Doryx); *City of Omaha Police and Fire Ret. Sys. v. LHC Group, Inc.* (W.D. La.) (securities class action settlement of \$7.85 million); and *In re Pacific Biosciences of Cal., Inc. Sec. Litig.* (Cal. Super. Ct.) (\$7.6 million recovery).

In addition, Mr. Cohen was previously the head of the settlement department at Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann LLP. While at BLB&G, Mr. Cohen had primary responsibility for overseeing the team working on the following settlements, among others: *In Re Merck & Co., Inc. Sec., Deriv. & "ERISA" Litig.* (D.N.J.) (\$1.062 billion securities class action settlement); *New York State Teachers' Ret. Sys. v. General Motors Co.* (E.D. Mich.) (\$300 million securities class action settlement); *In re JPMorgan Chase & Co. Sec. Litig.* (S.D.N.Y.) (\$150 million settlement); *Dep't of the Treasury of the State of New Jersey and its Division of Inv. v. Cliffs Natural Res. Inc., et al.* (N.D. Ohio) (\$84 million securities class action settlement); *In re Penn West Petroleum Ltd. Sec. Litig.* (S.D.N.Y.) (\$19.76 million settlement); and *In re BioScrip, Inc. Sec. Litig.* (\$10.9 million settlement).

JOSHUA L. CROWELL, a partner in the firm's Los Angeles office, concentrates his practice on prosecuting complex securities cases on behalf of investors.

Recently he helped lead the successful resolution of *In re Penn West Petroleum Ltd. Securities Litigation*, No. 1:14-cv-06046-JGK (S.D.N.Y.), resulting in a \$19 million settlement for the U.S. shareholder class as part of a \$39 million global settlement. He also helped lead the prosecution of *In re Puda Coal Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 1:11-cv-2598 (DLC) (S.D.N.Y.), resulting in a rare settlement against underwriter defendants for securities fraud of \$8.6 million.

Prior to joining Glancy Prongay & Murray LLP, Joshua was an Associate at Labaton Sucharow LLP in New York, where he substantially contributed to some of the firm's biggest successes. There he helped secure several large federal securities class settlements, including: *In re Countrywide Financial Corp. Securities Litigation*, No. CV 07-05295 MRP (MANx) (C.D. Cal.) – \$624 million; *In re Schering-Plough Corp. / ENHANCE Securities Litigation*, No. 08-397 (DMC) (JAD) (D.N.J.) – \$473 million; *In re Broadcom Corp. Class Action Litigation*, No. CV-06-5036-R (CWx) (C.D. Cal.) – \$173.5 million; *In re Fannie Mae 2008 Securities Litigation*, No. 08-civ-7831-PAC (S.D.N.Y.) – \$170 million; and the *Oppenheimer Champion Fund* and *Core Bond Fund* actions, Nos. 09-cv-525-JLK-KMT and 09-cv-1186-JLK-KMT (D. Colo.) – \$100 million combined. He began his legal career as an Associate at Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP in New York, primarily representing financial services clients in commercial litigation.

Super Lawyers has selected Joshua as a Rising Star in the area of Securities Litigation from 2015 through 2017.

Prior to attending law school, Joshua was a Senior Economics Consultant at Ernst & Young LLP, where he priced intercompany transactions and calculated the value of intellectual property. Joshua received a J.D., cum laude, from The George Washington University Law School. During law school, he was an Associate of The George Washington Law Review and a member of the Mock Trial Board. He was also a law intern for Chief Judge Edward J. Damich of the United States Court of Federal Claims. Joshua earned a B.A. in International Relations from Carleton College.

LIONEL Z. GLANCY, a graduate of University of Michigan Law School, is the founding partner of the Firm. After serving as a law clerk for United States District Judge Howard McKibben, he began his career as an associate at a New York law firm concentrating in securities litigation. Thereafter, he started a boutique law firm specializing in securities litigation, and other complex litigation, from the Plaintiff's perspective. Mr. Glancy has established a distinguished career in the field of securities litigation over the last fifteen years, having appeared and been appointed lead counsel on behalf of aggrieved investors in securities class action cases throughout the country. He has appeared and argued before dozen of district courts and a number of appellate courts. His efforts have resulted in the recovery of hundreds of millions of dollars in settlement proceeds for huge classes of shareholders. Well known in securities law, he has lectured on its developments and practice, including having lectured before Continuing Legal Education seminars and law schools.

Mr. Glancy was born in Windsor, Canada, on April 4, 1962. Mr. Glancy earned his undergraduate degree in political science in 1984 and his Juris Doctor degree in 1986, both from the University of Michigan. He was admitted to practice in California in 1988, and in Nevada and before the U.S. Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit, in 1989.

MARC L. GODINO has extensive experience successfully litigating complex, class action lawsuits as a plaintiffs' lawyer. Since joining the firm in 2005, Mr. Godino has played a primary role in cases resulting in settlements of more than \$100 million. He has prosecuted securities, derivative, merger & acquisition, and consumer cases throughout the country in both state and federal court, as well as represented defrauded investors at FINRA arbitrations. Mr. Godino manages the Firm's consumer class action department.

While a senior associate with Stull Stull & Brody, Mr. Godino was one of the two primary attorneys involved in *Small v. Fritz Co.*, 30 Cal. 4th 167 (April 7, 2003), in which the California Supreme Court created new law in the State of California for shareholders that held shares in detrimental reliance on false statements made by corporate officers. The decision was widely covered by national media including The National Law Journal, the Los Angeles Times, the New York Times, and the New York Law Journal, among others, and was heralded as a significant victory for shareholders.

Mr. Godino's successes with Glancy Prongay & Murray LLP include: *Good Morning To You Productions Corp., et al., v. Warner/Chappell Music, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 13-04460 (C.D. Cal.) (In this highly publicized case that attracted world-wide attention, Plaintiffs prevailed on their claim that the song "Happy Birthday" should be in the public domain and achieved a \$14,000,000 settlement to class members who paid a licensing fee for the song); *Ord v. First National Bank of Pennsylvania*, Case No. 12-766 (W. D. Pa.) (\$3,000,000 settlement plus injunctive relief); *Pappas v. Naked Juice Co. of Glendora, Inc.*, Case No. 11-08276 (C.D. Cal.) (\$9,000,000 settlement plus injunctive relief); *Astiana v. Kashi Company*, Case No. 11-1967 (S.D. Cal.) (\$5,000,000 settlement); *In re Magma Design Automation, Inc. Securities Litigation*, Case No. 05-2394 (N.D. Cal.) (\$13,500,000 settlement); *In re Hovnanian Enterprises, Inc. Securities Litigation*, Case No. 08-cv-0099 (D.N.J.) (\$4,000,000 settlement); *In re Skilled Healthcare Group, Inc. Securities Litigation*, Case No. 09-5416 (C.D. Cal.) (\$3,000,000 settlement); *Kelly v. Phiten USA, Inc.*, Case No. 11-67 (S.D. Iowa) (\$3,200,000 settlement plus injunctive relief); *(Shin et al., v. BMW of North America*, 2009 WL 2163509 (C.D. Cal. July 16, 2009) (after defeating a motion to dismiss, the case settled on very favorable terms for class members including free replacement of cracked wheels); *Payday Advance Plus, Inc. v. MIVA, Inc.*, Case No. 06-1923 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$3,936,812 settlement); *Esslinger, et al. v. HSBC Bank Nevada, N.A.*, Case No. 10-03213 (E.D. Pa.) (\$23,500,000 settlement); *In re Discover Payment Protection Plan Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation*, Case No. 10-06994 (\$10,500,000 settlement); *Sciortino v. Pepsico, Inc.*, Case No. 14-478 (N.D. CA) (obtained nationwide injunctive relief requiring certain Pepsico products to comply with California's Proposition 65); *In Re: Bank of America Credit Protection Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation*, Case No. 11-md-02269 (N.D. Cal.) (\$20,000,000 settlement).

Mr. Godino was also the principal attorney in the following published decisions: *Kramer v. Toyota Motor Corp.*, 705 F. 3d 1122 (9th Cir. 2013) (affirming denial of Defendant's motion to compel arbitration); *In re Zappos.com, Inc., Customer Data Sec. Breach Litigation*, 893 F. Supp. 2d 1058 (D. Nev. Sep 27, 2012) (motion to compel arbitration denied); *Sateriale, et al. v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.*, 697 F. 3d 777 (9th Cir. 2012) (reversing order dismissing class action complaint); *Lilly v. Jamba Juice Company*, 2014 WL 4652283 (N.D. Cal. Sep 18, 2014) (class certification granted in part); *Small v. University Medical Center of Southern Nevada*, 2013 WL 3043454 (D. Nev. June 14, 2013) (order granting conditional certification to FLSA class); *Peterson v. ConAgra Foods, Inc.*, 2014 WL 3741853 (S. D. Cal. July 29, 2014) (motion to dismiss denied); *In re 2TheMart.com Securities Litigation*, 114 F. Supp. 2d 955 (C.D. Cal. 2002) (motion to dismiss denied); *In re Irvine Sensors Securities Litigation*, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18397 (C.D. Cal. 2003) (motion to dismiss denied); *Shin v. BMW of North America*, 2009 WL 2163509 (C.D. Cal. July 16, 2009) (motion to dismiss denied).

MARK S. GREENSTONE specializes in consumer, financial fraud and employment-related class actions. Possessing significant law and motion and trial experience, Mr. Greenstone has represented clients in multi-million dollar disputes in California state and federal courts, as well as the Court of Federal Claims in Washington, D.C.

Mr. Greenstone received his training as an associate at Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP where he specialized in complex business litigation relating to investment management, government contracts and real estate. Upon leaving Sheppard Mullin, Mr. Greenstone founded an internet-based company offering retail items on multiple platforms nationwide. He thereafter returned to law bringing a combination of business and legal skills to his practice.

Mr. Greenstone graduated Order of the Coif from the UCLA School of Law. He also received his undergraduate degree in Political Science from UCLA, where he graduated Magna Cum Laude and was inducted into the Phi Beta Kappa honor society.

Mr. Greenstone is a member of the Consumer Attorneys Association of Los Angeles, the Santa Monica Bar Association and the Beverly Hills Bar Association. He is admitted to practice in state and federal courts throughout California.

SUSAN G. KUPFER is the founding partner of the Firm's Berkeley office and head of the Firm's Antitrust Practice Group. Ms Kupfer joined the Firm in 2003. She is a native of New York City, and received her A.B. degree from Mount Holyoke College in 1969 and her Juris Doctor degree from Boston University School of Law in 1973. She did graduate work at Harvard Law School and, in 1977, was named Assistant Dean and Director of Clinical Programs at Harvard, supervising and teaching in that program of legal practice and related academic components.

For much of her legal career, Ms. Kupfer has been a professor of law. Her areas of academic expertise are Civil Procedure, Federal Courts, Conflict of Laws, Constitutional Law, Legal Ethics, and Jurisprudence. She has taught at Harvard Law School, Hastings

College of the Law, Boston University School of Law, Golden Gate University School of Law, and Northeastern University School of Law. From 1991 through 2002, she was a lecturer on law at the University of California, Berkeley, Boalt Hall, teaching Civil Procedure and Conflict of Laws. Her publications include articles on federal civil rights litigation, legal ethics, and jurisprudence. She has also taught various aspects of practical legal and ethical training, including trial advocacy, negotiation and legal ethics, to both law students and practicing attorneys.

Ms. Kupfer previously served as corporate counsel to The Architects Collaborative in Cambridge and San Francisco, and was the Executive Director of the Massachusetts Commission on Judicial Conduct. She returned to the practice of law in San Francisco with Morgenstein & Jubelirer and Berman DeValerio LLP before joining the Firm.

Ms. Kupfer's practice is concentrated in complex antitrust litigation. She currently serves, or has served, as Co-Lead Counsel in several multidistrict antitrust cases: *In re Photochromic Lens Antitrust Litig.* (MDL 2173, M.D. Fla. 2010); *In re Fresh and Process Potatoes Antitrust Litig.* (D. ID. 2011); *In re Korean Air Lines Antitrust Litig.* (MDL No. 1891, C.D. Cal. 2007); *In re Urethane Antitrust Litigation* (MDL 1616, D. Kan. 2004); *In re Western States Wholesale Natural Gas Litigation* (MDL 1566, D. Nev. 2005); and *Sullivan et al v. DB Investments et al* (D. N.J. 2004). She has been a member of the lead counsel teams that achieved significant settlements in: *In re Sorbates Antitrust Litigation* (\$96.5 million settlement); *In re Pillar Point Partners Antitrust Litigation* (\$50 million settlement); and *In re Critical Path Securities Litigation* (\$17.5 million settlement).

Ms. Kupfer is a member of the bar of Massachusetts and California, and is admitted to practice before the United States District Courts for the Northern, Central, Eastern and Southern Districts of California, the District of Massachusetts, the Courts of Appeals for the First and Ninth Circuits, and the U.S. Supreme Court.

GREGORY B. LINKH works out of the New York office, where he specializes in securities, shareholder derivative, antitrust, and consumer litigation. Greg graduated from the State University of New York at Binghamton in 1996 and from the University of Michigan Law School in 1999. While in law school, Greg externed with United States District Judge Gerald E. Rosen of the Eastern District of Michigan. Greg was previously associated with the law firms Dewey Ballantine LLP, Pomerantz Haudek Block Grossman & Gross LLP, and Murray Frank LLP.

Greg is the co-author of *Inherent Risk In Securities Cases In The Second Circuit*, NEW YORK LAW JOURNAL (Aug. 26, 2004); *Staying Derivative Action Pursuant to PSLRA and SLUSA*, NEW YORK LAW JOURNAL, P. 4, COL. 4 (Oct. 21, 2005) and the SECURITIES REFORM ACT LITIGATION REPORTER, Vol. 20, No. 3 (Dec. 2005).

BRIAN MURRAY is the managing partner of the Firm's New York office. He received Bachelor of Arts and Master of Arts degrees from the University of Notre Dame in 1983 and 1986, respectively. He received a Juris Doctor degree, *cum laude*, from St. John's University School of Law in 1990. At St. John's, he was the Articles Editor of the ST. JOHN'S LAW REVIEW. Mr. Murray co-wrote: *Jurisdição Estrangeira Tem Papel*

Relevante Na De Fiesa De Investidores Brasileiros, ESPAÇA JURÍDICO BOVESPA (August 2008); *The Proportionate Trading Model: Real Science or Junk Science?*, 52 CLEVELAND ST. L. REV. 391 (2004-05); *The Accident of Efficiency: Foreign Exchanges, American Depository Receipts, and Space Arbitrage*, 51 BUFFALO L. REV. 383 (2003); *You Shouldn't Be Required To Plead More Than You Have To Prove*, 53 BAYLOR L. REV. 783 (2001); *He Lies, You Die: Criminal Trials, Truth, Perjury, and Fairness*, 27 NEW ENGLAND J. ON CIVIL AND CRIMINAL CONFINEMENT 1 (2001); *Subject Matter Jurisdiction Under the Federal Securities Laws: The State of Affairs After Itoba*, 20 MARYLAND J. OF INT'L L. AND TRADE 235 (1996); *Determining Excessive Trading in Option Accounts: A Synthetic Valuation Approach*, 23 U. DAYTON L. REV. 316 (1997); *Loss Causation Pleading Standard*, NEW YORK LAW JOURNAL (Feb. 25, 2005); *The PSLRA 'Automatic Stay' of Discovery*, NEW YORK LAW JOURNAL (March 3, 2003); and *Inherent Risk In Securities Cases In The Second Circuit*, NEW YORK LAW JOURNAL (Aug. 26, 2004). He also authored *Protecting The Rights of International Clients in U.S. Securities Class Action Litigation*, INTERNATIONAL LITIGATION NEWS (Sept. 2007); *Lifting the PSLRA "Automatic Stay" of Discovery*, 80 N. DAK. L. REV. 405 (2004); *Aftermarket Purchaser Standing Under § 11 of the Securities Act of 1933*, 73 ST. JOHN'S L. REV. 633 (1999); *Recent Rulings Allow Section 11 Suits By Aftermarket Securities Purchasers*, NEW YORK LAW JOURNAL (Sept. 24, 1998); and *Comment, Weissmann v. Freeman: The Second Circuit Errs in its Analysis of Derivative Copy-rights by Joint Authors*, 63 ST. JOHN'S L. REV. 771 (1989).

Mr. Murray's major cases include *In re Eagle Bldg. Tech. Sec. Litig.*, 221 F.R.D. 582 (S.D. Fla. 2004), 319 F. Supp. 2d 1318 (S.D. Fla. 2004) (complaint against auditor sustained due to magnitude and nature of fraud; no allegations of a "tip-off" were necessary); *In re Turkcell Iletisim A.S. Sec. Litig.*, 209 F.R.D. 353 (S.D.N.Y. 2002) (defining standards by which investment advisors have standing to sue); *In re Turkcell Iletisim A.S. Sec. Litig.*, 202 F. Supp. 2d 8 (S.D.N.Y. 2001) (liability found for false statements in prospectus concerning churn rates); *Feiner v. SS&C Tech., Inc.*, 11 F. Supp. 2d 204 (D. Conn. 1998) (qualified independent underwriters held liable for pricing of offering); *Malone v. Microdyne Corp.*, 26 F.3d 471 (4th Cir. 1994) (reversal of directed verdict for defendants); and *Adair v. Bristol Tech. Systems, Inc.*, 179 F.R.D. 126 (S.D.N.Y. 1998) (aftermarket purchasers have standing under section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933). Mr. Murray also prevailed on an issue of first impression in the Superior Court of Massachusetts, in *Cambridge Biotech Corp. v. Deloitte and Touche LLP*, in which the court applied the doctrine of continuous representation for statute of limitations purposes to accountants for the first time in Massachusetts. 6 Mass. L. Rptr. 367 (Mass. Super. Jan. 28, 1997). In addition, in *Adair v. Microfield Graphics, Inc.* (D. Or.), Mr. Murray settled the case for 47% of estimated damages. In the *Qiao Xing Universal Telephone* case, claimants received 120% of their recognized losses.

Among his current cases, Mr. Murray represents a class of investors in a securities litigation involving preferred shares of Deutsche Bank and is co-lead counsel in a securities litigation on behalf of investors in FitBit, Inc. Mr. Murray is also currently co-lead counsel in *Avenarius, et al., v. Eaton Corp., et al.* (D. Del.), an antitrust class action against the world's largest commercial truck and transmission manufactures.

Mr. Murray has been a panelist at CLEs sponsored by the Federal Bar Council and the Institute for Law and Economic Policy, at the German-American Lawyers Association Annual Meeting in Frankfurt, Germany, and is a frequent lecturer before institutional investors in Europe and South America on the topic of class actions.

LESLEY F. PORTNOY represents domestic and international clients in securities litigation and class actions. Mr. Portnoy focuses his practice on recovering losses suffered by investors resulting corporate fraud and other wrongdoing.

Mr. Portnoy has extensive experience litigating complex cases in state and federal courts nationwide, and previously served as counsel to investors in the Bernard L. Madoff securities, assisting the SIPC trustee Irving Picard in recovering assets on behalf of defrauded investors. During law school, he worked in the New York Supreme Court Commercial Division, the Second Circuit Court of Appeals, and the New York City Law Department. Mr. Portnoy has represented pro bono clients in New York and California.

ROBERT V. PRONGAY is a partner in the Firm's Los Angeles office where he focuses on the investigation, initiation, and prosecution of complex securities cases on behalf of institutional and individual investors. Mr. Prongay's practice concentrates on actions to recover investment losses resulting from violations of the federal securities laws and various actions to vindicate shareholder rights in response to corporate and fiduciary misconduct.

Mr. Prongay has extensive experience litigating complex cases in state and federal courts nationwide. Since joining the Firm, Mr. Prongay has successfully recovered millions of dollars for investors victimized by securities fraud and has negotiated the implementation of significant corporate governance reforms aimed at preventing the recurrence of corporate wrongdoing.

Mr. Prongay was recently recognized as one of thirty lawyers included in the Daily Journal's list of Top Plaintiffs Lawyers in California for 2017. Several of Mr. Prongay's cases have received national and regional press coverage. Mr. Prongay has been interviewed by journalists and writers for national and industry publications, ranging from *The Wall Street Journal* to the *Los Angeles Daily Journal*. Mr. Prongay has appeared as a guest on Bloomberg Television where he was interviewed about the securities litigation stemming from the high-profile initial public offering of Facebook, Inc.

Mr. Prongay received his Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics from the University of Southern California and his Juris Doctor degree from Seton Hall University School of Law. Mr. Prongay is also an alumnus of the Lawrenceville School.

JONATHAN M. ROTTER leads the Firm's intellectual property litigation practice. He recently served for three years as the first Patent Pilot Program Law Clerk at the United States District Court for the Central District of California, both in Los Angeles and Orange County. There, he assisted the Honorable S. James Otero, Andrew J. Guilford,

George H. Wu, John A. Kronstadt, and Beverly Reid O'Connell with hundreds of patent cases in every major field of technology, from complaint to post-trial motions. Mr. Rotter also served as a law clerk for the Honorable Milan D. Smith, Jr. on the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

Before his service to the court, Mr. Rotter practiced at an international law firm, where he argued appeals at the Federal Circuit, Ninth Circuit, and California Court of Appeal, tried cases, argued motions, and managed all aspects of complex litigation. He also served as a volunteer criminal prosecutor for the Los Angeles City Attorney's Office. His cases have involved diverse technologies in both "wet" and "dry" disciplines, and he excels at the critical skill of translating complex subject matter into a coherent story that can be digested by judges and juries.

In addition to intellectual property matters, Mr. Rotter litigates consumer protection, antitrust, and securities class actions. Mr. Rotter handles cases on contingency, partial contingency, and hourly bases. He works collaboratively with other lawyers and law firms across the country.

Mr. Rotter graduated with honors from Harvard Law School in 2004. He served as an editor of the Harvard Journal of Law & Technology, and was a Fellow in Law and Economics at the John M. Olin Center for Law, Economics, and Business, and a Fellow in Justice, Welfare, and Economics at the Weatherhead Center For International Affairs. He graduated with honors from the University of California, San Diego in 2000 with a B.S. in molecular biology and a B.A. in music.

Mr. Rotter serves on the Merit Selection Panel for Magistrate Judges in the Central District of California, and the Model Patent Jury Instructions and Model Patent Local Rules subcommittees of the American Intellectual Property Law Association. He has written extensively on intellectual property issues, and has been honored for his work with legal service organizations. He is admitted to practice before the United States Patent & Trademark Office, the United States Courts of Appeals for the Ninth and Federal Circuits, and the United States District Courts for the Northern, Central, and Southern Districts of California.

KEVIN F. RUF graduated from the University of California at Berkeley in 1984 with a Bachelor of Arts in Economics and earned his Juris Doctor degree from the University of Michigan in 1987. Mr. Ruf was admitted to the State Bar of California in 1988. Mr. Ruf was an associate at the Los Angeles firm Manatt Phelps and Phillips from 1988 until 1992, where he specialized in commercial litigation and was a leading trial lawyer among the associates there. In 1993, he joined the firm Corbin & Fitzgerald in order to gain experience in criminal law. There, he specialized in white collar criminal defense work, including matters related to National Medical Enterprises, Cynergy Film Productions and the Estate of Doris Duke. Mr. Ruf joined the Firm in 2001 and has taken a lead trial lawyer role in many of the Firm's cases. In 2006, Mr. Ruf argued before the California Supreme Court in the case *Smith v. L'Oreal* and achieved a unanimous reversal of the lower court rulings; the case established a fundamental right of all California workers to immediate payment of all earnings at the conclusion of

employment. In 2007, Mr. Ruf took an important case before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, convincing the Court to affirm the lower court's certification of a class action in a fraud case (fraud cases have traditionally faced difficulty as class actions because of the requirement of individual reliance). Mr. Ruf has extensive trial experience, including jury trials, and considers his courtroom and oral advocacy skills to be his strongest asset as a litigator. Mr. Ruf currently acts as the Head of the Firm's Labor and Consumer Practice, and has extensive experience in securities cases as well. Mr. Ruf also has experience in real estate law and has been a Licensed California Real Estate Broker since 1999.

CASEY E. SADLER is a native of New York, New York. After graduating from the University of Southern California, Gould School of Law, Mr. Sadler joined the Firm in 2010. While attending law school, Mr. Sadler externed for the Enforcement Division of the Securities and Exchange Commission, spent a summer working for P.H. Parekh & Co. – one of the leading appellate law firms in New Delhi, India – and was a member of USC's Hale Moot Court Honors Program.

Mr. Sadler's practice focuses on securities and consumer litigation. A partner in the Firm's Los Angeles office, Mr. Sadler is admitted to the State Bar of California and the United States District Courts for the Northern, Southern, and Central Districts of California.

EX KANO S. SAMS II earned his Bachelor of Arts degree in Political Science from the University of California Los Angeles. Mr. Sams earned his Juris Doctor degree from the University of California Los Angeles School of Law, where he served as a member of the *UCLA Law Review*. After law school, Mr. Sams practiced class action civil rights litigation on behalf of plaintiffs. Subsequently, Mr. Sams was a partner at Coughlin Stoa Geller Rudman & Robbins LLP (currently Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP) – the largest plaintiffs' class action firm in the country – where his practice focused on securities and consumer class actions on behalf of investors and consumers.

Mr. Sams has served as lead counsel in dozens of securities class actions, shareholder derivative actions, and complex-litigation cases throughout the United States. Mr. Sams participated in a successful appeal before a Fifth Circuit panel that included former United States Supreme Court Justice Sandra Day O'Connor sitting by designation, in which the court unanimously vacated the lower court's denial of class certification, reversed the lower court's grant of summary judgment, and issued an important decision on the issue of loss causation in securities litigation: *Alaska Electrical Pension Fund v. Flowserve Corp.*, 572 F.3d 221 (5th Cir. 2009). The case settled for \$55 million.

Mr. Sams has also obtained other significant results. Notable examples include: *In re King Digital Entertainment plc Shareholder Litig.*, No. CGC-15-544770 (San Francisco Superior Court) (case settled for \$18.5 million); *In re Castlight Health, Inc. Shareholder Litig.*, Lead Case No. CIV533203 (California Superior Court, County of San Mateo) (case settled for \$9.5 million); *Wiley v. Envivio, Inc.*, Master File No. CIV517185 (California Superior Court, County of San Mateo) (case settled for \$8.5 million); *In re CafePress Inc. Shareholder Litig.*, Master File No. CIV522744 (California Superior

Court, County of San Mateo) (case settled for \$8 million); *Robinson v. Audience, Inc.*, Case No. 1:12-cv-232227 (California Superior Court, County of Santa Clara) (case settled for \$6,050,000); *Estate of Gardner v. Continental Casualty Company*, No. 3:13-cv-1918 (JBA), 2016 WL 806823 (D. Conn. Mar. 1, 2016) (granting class certification); *Forbush v. Goodale*, No. 33538/2011, 2013 WL 582255 (N.Y. Sup. Feb. 4, 2013) (denying motions to dismiss in a shareholder derivative action); *Curry v. Hansen Med., Inc.*, No. C 09-5094 CW, 2012 WL 3242447 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 10, 2012) (upholding securities fraud complaint; case settled for \$8.5 million); *Wilkof v. Caraco Pharm. Labs., Ltd.*, 280 F.R.D. 332 (E.D. Mich. 2012) (granting class certification in a securities-fraud action); *Puskala v. Koss Corp.*, 799 F. Supp. 2d 941 (E.D. Wis. 2011) (upholding securities fraud complaint); *Mishkin v. Zynex Inc.*, Civil Action No. 09-cv-00780-REB-KLM, 2011 WL 1158715 (D. Colo. Mar. 30, 2011) (denying defendants' motion to dismiss securities fraud complaint); and *Tsirekidze v. Syntax-Brilliant Corp.*, No. CV-07-02204-PHX-FJM, 2009 WL 2151838 (D. Ariz. July 17, 2009) (granting class certification; case settled for \$10 million).

Additionally, Mr. Sams has successfully represented consumers in class action litigation. Mr. Sams worked on nationwide litigation and a trial against major tobacco companies, and in statewide tobacco litigation that resulted in a \$12.5 billion recovery for California cities and counties in a landmark settlement. He also was a principal attorney in a consumer class action against one of the largest banks in the country that resulted in a substantial recovery and a change in the company's business practices. Mr. Sams also participated in settlement negotiations on behalf of environmental organizations along with the United States Department of Justice and the Ohio Attorney General's Office that resulted in a consent decree requiring a company to perform remediation measures to address the effects of air and water pollution.

KARA M. WOLKE is a partner in the firm's Los Angeles office. Ms. Wolke specializes in complex litigation, including the prosecution of securities fraud, derivative, consumer, and wage and hour class actions. She has extensive experience in written appellate advocacy in both State and Federal Circuit Courts of Appeals, and has successfully argued before the Court of Appeals for the State of California.

With over a decade of experience in financial class action litigation, Ms. Wolke has helped to recover hundreds of millions of dollars for injured investors, consumers, and employees. Notable cases include: *Farmington Hills Employees' Retirement System v. Wells Fargo Bank*, Case No. 10-4372 (D. Minn.) (\$62.5 million settlement on behalf of participants in Wells Fargo's securities lending program. The settlement was reached on the eve of trial and ranked among the largest recoveries achieved in a securities lending class action stemming from the 2008 financial crisis.); *Schleicher, et al. v. Wendt, et al.* (Conseco), Case No. 02-cv-1332 (S.D. Ind.) (\$41.5 million securities class action settlement); *Lapin v. Goldman Sachs*, Case No. 03-850 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$29 million securities class action settlement); *In Re: Mannkind Corporation Securities Litigation*, Case No. 11-929 (C.D. Cal) (approximately \$22 million settlement - \$16 million in cash plus stock); *Jenson v. First Trust Corp.*, Case No. 05-3124 (C.D. Cal.) (\$8.5 million settlement of action alleging breach of fiduciary duty and breach of contract against trust company on behalf of a class of elderly investors); and *Pappas v. Naked Juice Co.*,

Case No. 11-08276 (C.D. Cal.) (\$9 million settlement in consumer class action alleging misleading labeling of juice products as "All Natural").

With a background in intellectual property, Ms. Wolke was a part of the team of lawyers who successfully challenged the claim of copyright ownership to the song "Happy Birthday to You" on behalf of artists and filmmakers who had been forced to pay hefty licensing fees to publicly sing the world's most famous song. In the resolution of that action, the defendant music publishing company funded a settlement of \$14 million and, significantly, agreed to relinquish the song to the public domain. Previously, Ms. Wolke penned an article regarding the failure of U.S. Copyright Law to provide an important public performance right in sound recordings, 7 Vand. J. Ent. L. & Prac. 411, which was nationally recognized and received an award by the American Bar Association and the Grammy® Foundation.

Committed to the provision of legal services to the poor, disadvantaged, and other vulnerable or disenfranchised individuals and groups, Ms. Wolke also oversees the Firm's pro bono practice. Ms. Wolke currently serves as a volunteer attorney for KIND (Kids In Need of Defense), representing unaccompanied immigrant and refugee children in custody and deportation proceedings, and helping them to secure legal permanent residency status in the U.S.

Ms. Wolke graduated summa cum laude with a Bachelor of Science in Economics from The Ohio State University in 2001. She subsequently earned her J.D. (with honors) from Ohio State, where she was active in Moot Court and received the Dean's Award for Excellence during each of her three years.

Ms. Wolke is admitted to the State Bar of California, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, as well as the United States District Courts for the Northern, Southern, and Central Districts of California. She lives with her husband and two sons in Los Angeles.

SENIOR COUNSEL

JASON L. KRAJECER is senior counsel in the firm's Los Angeles office. He specializes in complex securities cases and has extensive experience in all phases of litigation (fact investigation, pre-trial motion practice, discovery, trial, appeal).

Prior to joining Glancy Prongay & Murray LLP, Mr. Krajcer was an Associate at Goodwin Procter LLP where he represented issuers, officers and directors in multi-hundred million and billion dollar securities cases. He began his legal career at Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP, where he represented issuers, officers and directors in securities class actions, shareholder derivative actions, and matters before the U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission.

Mr. Krajcer is admitted to the State Bar of California, the Bar of the District of Columbia, the United States Supreme Court, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and the United States District Courts for the Central and Southern Districts of California.

EXHIBIT 2

EXHIBIT 2**GLANCY PRONGAY & MURRAY LLP**

Hours Reported and Lodestar on a Historical Basis

February 21, 2015 through May 16, 2018

NAME	TOTAL HOURS	HOURLY RATE	LODESTAR
ATTORNEY HOURS			
Susan G. Kupfer	4.25	845	3,591.25
NON-ATTORNEYS			
TOTAL:	4.25	845	\$3,591.25

(P) Partner
 (OC) Of Counsel
 (SA) Senior Associate
 (A) Associate
 (SPL) Senior Paralegal
 (PL) Paralegal
 (LC) Law Clerk