	Case3:07-cv-05634-CRB Docu	iment1018 Filed06/15/15 Page1 of 5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Joseph W. Cotchett (36324) jcotchett@cpmlegal.com Steven N. Williams (175489) swilliams@cpmlegal.com Adam J. Zapala (245748) azapala@cpmlegal.com Elizabeth Tran (280502) etran@cpmlegal.com COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LL San Francisco Airport Office Center 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010 Telephone: (650) 697-6000 Economiania (650) 697-6000	Р
8	Facsimile: (650) 697-0577	
9	Michael P. Lehmann (77152) mlehmann@hausfeldllp.com Christopher Lebsock (184546)	Michael D. Hausfeld mhausfeld@hausfeldllp.com Seth R. Gassman
10 11	clebsock@hausfeldllp.com HAUSFELD LLP 44 Montgomery Street	sgassman@hausfeldllp.com HAUSFELD LLP 1700 K Street, Suite 650
12	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 633-1908	Washington, D.C. 20006 Telephone: (202) 540-7200
12	Facsimile: (415) 358-4980	Facsimile: (202) 540-7200
13	Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs	
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
16 17	IN RE TRANSPACIFIC PASSENGER	Civil Action No. 3:07-CV-05634-CRB
17 18	AIR TRANSPACIFIC PASSENGER AIR TRANSPORTATION ANTITRUST LITIGATION	MDL No: 1913
10		FINAL JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL
20		WITH PREJUDICE AS TO DEFENDANT SINGAPORE AIRLINES
21		LIMITED
22	This Document Relates To:	
23	All Actions	
24		
25		
26	<u> </u>	
27		
28		
	[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE AS TO SINGAPORE AIRLINES LIMITED	CASE NO. 07-CV-05634-CRB

П

Case3:07-cv-05634-CRB Document1018 Filed06/15/15 Page2 of 5

1 This matter has come before the Court to determine whether there is any just reason for delay 2 of the entry of this final judgment with respect to the class action settlement with Defendant 3 Singapore Airlines Limited (sometimes referred to herein as "Defendant" or "SQ"). The Court, 4 having reviewed the Motion for Final Approval of certain settlements (see ECF No. 999) and 5 Plaintiffs' Fees Motion (see ECF No. 986), and having held argument on the motion on May 22, 6 2015, and having issued an Order Granting Motion For Final Approval And Granting Motion For 7 Fees (see ECF No. 1009), and finding no just reason for delay, hereby directs entry of Judgment, 8 which shall constitute a final adjudication of this case on the merits as to members of the SQ 9 Settlement Class and Defendant Singapore Airlines Limited, pursuant to the Settlement Agreement 10 between Plaintiffs and Singapore Airlines Limited (the "Settlement Agreement") (see ECF No. 11 999-9).

12

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

13
 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation, and all actions
 within this litigation (collectively, the "Action") and over the parties to the Settlement Agreement,
 including all members of the Settlement Class and Defendant.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2. The following class is certified for settlement purposes only, pursuant to Rule 23 of

the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:

SINGAPORE AIRLINES SETTLEMENT CLASS

All persons and entities that purchased passenger air transportation that included at least one flight segment between the United States and Asia or Oceania from Defendants or their co-conspirators, or any predecessor, subsidiary or affiliate thereof, at any time between January 1, 2000 and the Effective Date. Excluded from the class are purchases of passenger air transportation between the United States and the Republic of South Korea purchased from Korean Air Lines, Ltd. and/or Asiana Airlines, Inc. Also excluded from the class are governmental entities, Defendants, former defendants in the Actions, any parent, subsidiary or affiliate thereof, and Defendants' officers, directors, employees and immediate families.

- 3. This settlement class shall be referred to herein as the Settlement Class.
- 27

4. For purposes of this order, the terms "Defendants," "Effective Date," "Released

28 Claims," "Releasing Parties," and "Released Parties" shall be defined as set forth in the Settlement

Case3:07-cv-05634-CRB Document1018 Filed06/15/15 Page3 of 5

Agreement. The term co-conspirators means: American Airlines; Asiana Airlines, Inc.; British
 Airways; Continental Airlines; Delta Airlines; Korean Air Lines, Ltd.; KLM Royal Dutch Airlines;
 Lufthansa; Northwest Airlines; Scandinavian Airlines System; Swiss International; United Airlines;
 and Virgin Atlantic Airways.

- 5 5. The Court finds the prerequisites to a class action under Federal Rule of Civil
 6 Procedure 23(a) have been satisfied for settlement purposes by the Settlement Class in that:
 - a. there are hundreds of thousands of putative members of the Settlement Class, making joinder of all members impracticable;
 - b. there are questions of fact and law that are common to all members of the Settlement Class;
 - c. the claims of the Class Representatives are typical of those of the absent members of the Settlement Class; and
- d. Plaintiffs Meor Adlin, Franklin Ajaye, Andrew Barton, Rachel Diller, Scott 13 Fredrick, David Kuo, Dickson Leung, Brendan Maloof, Donald Wortman, Harley 14 Oda, Roy Onomura, Shinsuke Kobayashi, Patricia Lee, Nancy Kajiyama, Della 15 16 Ewing Chow and James Kawaguchi (the "Class Representatives") have and will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the absent members of the Settlement 17 Class and have retained counsel experienced in complex antitrust class action 18 19 litigation who have and will continue to adequately advance the interests of the Settlement Class. 20

6. The Court finds that this Action may be maintained as a class action under Federal
 Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3) for settlement because: (i) questions of fact and law common to
 the members of the Settlement Class predominate over any questions affecting only the claims of
 individual members; and (ii) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and
 efficient adjudication of this controversy.

- Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g), the Court hereby confirms that Cotchett, Pitre &
 McCarthy, LLP and Hausfeld LLP are appointed as Settlement Class Counsel, and that Plaintiffs
- 28

7

8

9

10

11

12

Case3:07-cv-05634-CRB Document1018 Filed06/15/15 Page4 of 5

Meor Adlin, Franklin Ajaye, Andrew Barton, Rachel Diller, Scott Fredrick, David Kuo, Dickson
 Leung, Brendan Maloof, Donald Wortman, Harley Oda, Roy Onomura, Shinsuke Kobayashi,
 Patricia Lee, Nancy Kajiyama, Della Ewing Chow and James Kawaguchi are appointed to serve as
 Class Representatives on behalf of the Settlement Class.

5 6

7

8

8. The person identified on Exhibit B to the Declaration of Joel Botzet in support of Plaintiffs' motion for final approval of the Class Settlements (*see* ECF No. 999-19) has timely and validly requested exclusion from the Settlement Class and, therefore, is excluded. Such person is not included in or bound by this final judgment.

9 9. Upon the Effective Date, all Releasing Parties shall be permanently barred and
enjoined from instituting, commencing, prosecuting or asserting any Released Claim against any of
the Released Parties.

The Court has finally approved a total of eight settlements between the Class 12 10. Representatives and Japan Airlines Company, Ltd., Société Air France, Vietnam Airlines Company 13 14 Limited, Thai Airways International Public Co., Ltd. ("Thai Airways"), Malaysian Airline System Berhad, Qantas Airways Limited ("Qantas"), Cathay Pacific Airways, Ltd. ("Cathay Pacific"), and 15 16 Singapore Airlines Limited (collectively the "Settlement Agreements") in the total amount of \$39,502,000.00, approved an award of attorneys' fees in the amount of \$9,000,000.00, approved 17 reimbursement to Class Counsel of expenses in the amount of \$2,807,699.73, approved a litigation 18 19 fund of \$3,000,000.00, and approved an award of \$7,500.00 for each of the Class Representatives 20 (collectively the "Approved Fees and Costs") (see ECF No. 1009).

21 11. The Approved Fees and Costs shall be allocated pro-rata to each of the Settlement
22 Agreements.

23 12. This Court hereby dismisses on the merits and with prejudice the Action against
24 Defendant, with each party to bear its own costs and attorneys' fees.

13. Without affecting the finality of this final judgment in any way, this Court hereby
retains continuing jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of the terms of the Settlement Agreement
and any distribution to members of the Settlement Class pursuant to further orders of this Court; (b)

-3-

28

Case3:07-cv-05634-CRB Document1018 Filed06/15/15 Page5 of 5

hearing and ruling on any matters relating to the plan of allocation of the settlement proceeds; and
 (c) all parties to the Action and Releasing Parties, for the purpose of enforcing and administering
 the Settlement Agreement and the mutual releases and other documents contemplated by, or
 executed in connection with the Settlement Agreement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated June 11, 2015

HON. CHARLES R. BREYER United States District Court Judge