1	Joseph W. Cotchett (36324)	
2	jcotchett@cpmlegal.com Steven N. Williams (175489)	
3	swilliams@cpmlegal.com Adam J. Zapala (245748)	
4	azapala@cpmlegal.com Elizabeth Tran (280502)	
	etran@cpmlegal.com	
5	COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP San Francisco Airport Office Center	
6	840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010	
7	Telephone: (650) 697-6000 Facsimile: (650) 697-0577	
8		Michael D. Hausfeld
9	Michael P. Lehmann (77152) mlehmann@hausfeldllp.com	mhausfeld@hausfeldllp.com
10	Christopher Lebsock (184546) clebsock@hausfeldllp.com	Seth R. Gassman sgassman@hausfeldllp.com
11	HAUSFELD LLP 44 Montgomery Street	HAUSFELD LLP 1700 K Street, Suite 650
12	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 633-1908	Washington, D.C. 20006 Telephone: (202) 540-7200
13	Facsimile: (415) 358-4980	Facsimile: (202) 540-7201
	Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs	
14	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
	CHIEDSIAIL	S DISTRICT COURT
15	NORTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
15 16	NORTHERN DIST	
	NORTHERN DISTI SAN FRANC IN RE TRANSPACIFIC PASSENGER	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
16	NORTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA CISCO DIVISION
16 17	NORTHERN DISTI SAN FRANCI IN RE TRANSPACIFIC PASSENGER AIR TRANSPORTATION ANTITRUST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA CISCO DIVISION Civil Action No. 3:07-CV-05634-CRB MDL No: 1913 STIPULATION AND ORDER
16 17 18	NORTHERN DISTI SAN FRANCI IN RE TRANSPACIFIC PASSENGER AIR TRANSPORTATION ANTITRUST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA CISCO DIVISION Civil Action No. 3:07-CV-05634-CRB MDL No: 1913
16 17 18 19 20	NORTHERN DISTI SAN FRANCI IN RE TRANSPACIFIC PASSENGER AIR TRANSPORTATION ANTITRUST	CISCO DIVISION Civil Action No. 3:07-CV-05634-CRB MDL No: 1913 STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING ENTRY OF FINAL JUDGMENTS OF DISMISSAL WITH RESPECT TO THE SETTLING
16 17 18 19 20 21	NORTHERN DISTI SAN FRANCI IN RE TRANSPACIFIC PASSENGER AIR TRANSPORTATION ANTITRUST	CISCO DIVISION Civil Action No. 3:07-CV-05634-CRB MDL No: 1913 STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING ENTRY OF FINAL JUDGMENTS OF DISMISSAL WITH
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	NORTHERN DISTISAN FRANCIN RE TRANSPACIFIC PASSENGER AIR TRANSPORTATION ANTITRUST LITIGATION	CISCO DIVISION Civil Action No. 3:07-CV-05634-CRB MDL No: 1913 STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING ENTRY OF FINAL JUDGMENTS OF DISMISSAL WITH RESPECT TO THE SETTLING
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	NORTHERN DISTANDERS SAN FRANCE IN RE TRANSPACIFIC PASSENGER AIR TRANSPORTATION ANTITRUST LITIGATION This Document Relates To:	CISCO DIVISION Civil Action No. 3:07-CV-05634-CRB MDL No: 1913 STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING ENTRY OF FINAL JUDGMENTS OF DISMISSAL WITH RESPECT TO THE SETTLING
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	NORTHERN DISTANDERS SAN FRANCE IN RE TRANSPACIFIC PASSENGER AIR TRANSPORTATION ANTITRUST LITIGATION This Document Relates To:	CISCO DIVISION Civil Action No. 3:07-CV-05634-CRB MDL No: 1913 STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING ENTRY OF FINAL JUDGMENTS OF DISMISSAL WITH RESPECT TO THE SETTLING
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	NORTHERN DISTANDERS SAN FRANCE IN RE TRANSPACIFIC PASSENGER AIR TRANSPORTATION ANTITRUST LITIGATION This Document Relates To:	CISCO DIVISION Civil Action No. 3:07-CV-05634-CRB MDL No: 1913 STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING ENTRY OF FINAL JUDGMENTS OF DISMISSAL WITH RESPECT TO THE SETTLING
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	NORTHERN DISTANDERS SAN FRANCE IN RE TRANSPACIFIC PASSENGER AIR TRANSPORTATION ANTITRUST LITIGATION This Document Relates To:	CISCO DIVISION Civil Action No. 3:07-CV-05634-CRB MDL No: 1913 STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING ENTRY OF FINAL JUDGMENTS OF DISMISSAL WITH RESPECT TO THE SETTLING
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	NORTHERN DISTANDERS SAN FRANCE IN RE TRANSPACIFIC PASSENGER AIR TRANSPORTATION ANTITRUST LITIGATION This Document Relates To:	CISCO DIVISION Civil Action No. 3:07-CV-05634-CRB MDL No: 1913 STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING ENTRY OF FINAL JUDGMENTS OF DISMISSAL WITH RESPECT TO THE SETTLING

WHEREAS, class plaintiffs, by and through their counsel at Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLF		
and Hausfeld LLP, and Japan Airlines Company, Ltd. ("JAL"), Société Air France ("Air France"),		
Vietnam Airlines Company Limited ("Vietnam Airlines"), Thai Airways International Public Co.,		
Ltd. ("Thai Airways"), Malaysian Airline System Berhad ("Malaysian Airlines"), Qantas Airways		
Limited ("Qantas"), Cathay Pacific Airways Limited ("Cathay Pacific"), and Singapore Airlines		
Limited ("Singapore Airlines"), by and through their counsel, have entered into eight separate		
settlement agreements (collectively the "Settlement Agreements"):		

WHEREAS, the Court has issued an Order Granting Motion For Final Approval And Granting Motion For Fees (*see* ECF No. 1009);

WHEREAS, Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 54(b) provides for entry of judgment: "[w]hen an action presents more than one claim for relief—whether as a claim, counterclaim, crossclaim, or third-party claim—or when multiple parties are involved, the court may direct entry of a final judgment as to one or more, but fewer than all, claims or parties only if the court expressly determines that there is no just reason for delay."

WHEREAS, the "Effective Date" of the Settlement Agreements, as that term is defined in the Settlement Agreements requires the entry of judgment. *See* ECF Nos. 999-2 at ¶ 7 (JAL Settlement Agreement); 999-3 at ¶ 8 (Air France Settlement Agreement); 999-4 at ¶ 8 (Vietnam Airlines Settlement Agreement); 999-5 at ¶ 8 (Thai Airways Settlement Agreement); 999-6 at ¶ 8 (Malaysian Airlines Settlement Agreement); 999-7 at ¶ 8 (Cathay Pacific Settlement Agreement); 999-8 at ¶ 8 (Qantas Airways Settlement Agreement); 999-9 at ¶ 8 (Singapore Airlines Settlement Agreement);

WHEREAS, the parties hereto desire to have any further motion practice and/or appeals related to some or all of the Settlement Agreements resolved in a timely fashion prior to the resolution of the entire action against all of the non-settling defendants; and

WHEREAS, any appeals may be taken against some judgments, but not others, such that separate judgments are warranted.

NOW THEREFORE, it is hereby stipulated and agreed by the parties hereto, by and through their counsel of record, that judgments in the form attached here to as Exhibits 1-8 should be

1	entered by the Court, provided that the Court is inclined to do so pursuant to the discretion afforde			
2	to it pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 5	to it pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 54(b).		
3	SO STIPULATED.			
4	DATED: June 4, 2015	HAUSFELD, LLP		
5	DATED. Julie 4, 2013	HAUSFELD, LLI		
6				
7		By: /s/ Christopher L. Lebsock Christopher L. Lebsock		
8		Counsel for Plaintiffs		
9	DATED: June 4, 2015	COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP		
10	,			
11				
12		By: /s/ Steven N. Williams Steven N. Williams		
13		Counsel for Plaintiffs		
14	DATED: June 4, 2015	STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP		
15				
16		By: /s/ William Karas		
17		William Karas		
18		Counsel for Japan Airlines Company, Ltd.		
19	DATED: June 4, 2015	LINKLATERS LLP		
20				
21		By: /s/ James R. Warnot, Jr.		
22		By: /s/ James R. Warnot, Jr. James R. Warnot, Jr.		
23		Counsel for Societe Air France		
24	DATED: June 4, 2015	DLA PIPER LLP		
25				
26		By: /s/ David H. Bamberger		
27		David H. Bamberger		
28		Counsel for Defendant Cathay Pacific Airways Limited		

1	DATED: June 4, 2015	BAKER & MILLER PLLC
2		
3		By: /s/ W. Todd Miller
4		W. Todd Miller
5		Counsel for Defendant Qantas Airways Limited
6	DATED: June 4, 2015	PAUL HASTINGS LLP
7		
8		By: /s/ Shahzeb Lari
9		Shahzeb Lari
10		Counsel for Malaysian Airlines System Berhad
11	DATED: June 4, 2015	CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE, LLP
12		
13		By: /s/ Rowan D. Wilson
14		Rowan D. Wilson
15		Counsel for Defendant Thai Airways International Public Co., Ltd.
16	DATED: June 4, 2015	HOGAN LOVELLS LLP
17		
18		By: /s/ Robert B. Hawk
19		Robert B. Hawk
20		Counsel for Defendant Vietnam Airlines Company Limited
21		Limitea
22	DATED: 1,000 4 2015	I ATHIANA OLUMATRIZING I I D
23	DATED: June 4, 2015	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
24		
25		By: /s/ William R. Sherman William R. Sherman
26		Counsel for Defendant Singapore Airlines Limited
27		Counsei joi Dejenuani singupore Airines Limitea
28		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING ENTRY OF FINAL HIDGMENTS	-3- CASE NO. 07-CV-05634-CRB

REGARDING ENTRY OF FINAL JUDGMENTS

Case3:07-cv-05634-CRB Document1013 Filed06/15/15 Page5 of 5

On good cause shown and finding no just reason for delay, the Court hereby directs the Clerk to enter the judgments attached hereto as Exhibits 1-8, which shall constitute a final adjudication of this case on the merits as to the parties to the Settlement Agreements.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 11, 2015

HON. CHARLES R. BREYER United States District Court Judge