	Case3:07-cv-05634-CRB Docu	ment1017 Filed06/15/15 Page1 of 5	
1	Joseph W. Cotchett (36324) jcotchett@cpmlegal.com		
2	Steven N. Williams (175489) swilliams@cpmlegal.com		
3	Adam J. Zapala (245748)		
4	azapala@cpmlegal.com Elizabeth Tran (280502)		
5	etran@cpmlegal.com COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LL	Р	
6	San Francisco Airport Office Center 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200		
	Burlingame, CA 94010		
7	Telephone: (650) 697-6000 Facsimile: (650) 697-0577		
8	Michael P. Lehmann (77152)	Michael D. Hausfeld	
9	mlehmann@hausfeldllp.com	mhausfeld@hausfeldllp.com	
10	Christopher Lebsock (184546) clebsock@hausfeldllp.com	Seth R. Gassman sgassman@hausfeldllp.com	
11	HAUSFELD LLP 44 Montgomery Street	HAUSFELD LLP 1700 K Street, Suite 650	
12	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 633-1908	Washington, D.C. 20006 Telephone: (202) 540-7200	
	Facsimile: (415) 358-4980	Facsimile: (202) 540-7200	
13	Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs		
14	UNITED STAT	'ES DISTRICT COURT	
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16	SAN FRAN	NCISCO DIVISION	
17	IN RE TRANSPACIFIC PASSENGER AIR TRANSPORTATION ANTITRUST	Civil Action No. 3:07-CV-05634-CRB	
18	LITIGATION	MDL No: 1913	
19 20		FINAL JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE AS TO DEFENDANT QANTAS AIRWAYS	
21		LIMITED	
	This Document Relates To:		
22	All Actions		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL AS TO QANTAS AIRWAYS LIMITED	CASE NO. 07-CV-05634-CRB	

Case3:07-cv-05634-CRB Document1017 Filed06/15/15 Page2 of 5

1	This matter has come before the Court to determine whether there is any just reason for delay			
2	of the entry of this final judgment with respect to the class action settlement with Defendant Qantas			
3	Airways Limited (sometimes referred to herein as "Defendant" or "Qantas"). The Court, having			
4	reviewed the Motion for Final Approval of certain settlements (see ECF No. 999) and Plaintiffs'			
5	Fees Motion (see ECF No. 986), and having held argument on the motion on May 22, 2015 and			
6	having issued an Order Granting Motion For Final Approval And Granting Motion For Fees (see			
7	ECF No. 1009), and finding no just reason for delay hereby directs entry of Judgment which shall			
8	constitute a final adjudication of this case on the merits as to members of the Qantas Settlement			
9	Class and Defendant Qantas Airways Limited pursuant to the Settlement Agreement Between			
10	Plaintiffs and Qantas Airways, Limited (the "Settlement Agreement") (see ECF No. 999-8):			
11	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:			
12	1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation, and all actions			
13	within this litigation (collectively, the "Action") and over the parties to the Settlement Agreement,			
14	including all members of the Settlement Class and Defendant.			
15	2. The following class is certified for settlement purposes only, pursuant to Rule 23 of			
16	the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:			
17	QANTAS SETTLEMENT CLASS			
18	All persons and entities that purchased passenger air transportation that included at least one flight segment between the United States and			
19	Asia or Oceania from Defendants, or any predecessor, subsidiary or			
20	affiliate thereof, at any time between January 1, 2000 and the Effective Date. Excluded from the class are purchases of passenger air			
21	transportation between the United States and the Republic of South Korea purchased from Korea Air Lines, Ltd. and/or Asiana Airlines,			
22	Inc. Also excluded from the class are government entities, Defendants, former defendants in the Actions, any parent, subsidiary, or affiliate			
23	thereof, and Defendants' officers, directors, employees, and immediate families.			
24	 This settlement class shall be referred to herein as the Settlement Class. 			
25	 For purposes of this order, the term "Defendants," "Effective Date," "Released 			
26	Claims," "Releasing Parties," and "Released Parties" shall be defined as set forth in the Settlement			
27	Agreement.			
28				
l				

-1-

Case3:07-cv-05634-CRB Document1017 Filed06/15/15 Page3 of 5

1	5. The Court finds the prerequisites to a class action under Federal Rule of Civil		
2	Procedure 23(a) have been satisfied for settlement purposes by each of the Settlement Classes in		
3	that:		
4	a. there are hundreds of thousands of putative members of the Settlement Class,		
5	making joinder of all members impracticable;		
6	b. there are questions of fact and law that are common to all members of the		
7	Settlement Class;		
8	c. the claims of the Class Representatives are typical of those of the absent members		
9	of the Settlement Class; and		
10	d. Plaintiffs Meor Adlin, Franklin Ajaye, Andrew Barton, Rachel Diller, Scott		
11	Fredrick, David Kuo, Dickson Leung, Brendan Maloof, Donald Wortman, Harley		
12	Oda, Roy Onomura, Shinsuke Kobayashi, Patricia Lee, Nancy Kajiyama, Della		
13	Ewing Chow and James Kawaguchi (the "Class Representatives") have and will		
14	fairly and adequately protect the interests of the absent members of the Settlement		
15	Class and have retained counsel experienced in complex antitrust class action		
16	litigation who have and will continue to adequately advance the interests of the		
17	Settlement Class.		
18	6. The Court finds that this Action may be maintained as a class action under Federal		
19	Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3) for settlement because: (i) questions of fact and law common to		
20	the members of the Settlement Class predominate over any questions affecting only the claims of		
21	individual members; and (ii) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and		
22	efficient adjudication of this controversy.		
23	7. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g), the Court hereby confirms that Cotchett, Pitre &		
24	McCarthy, LLP and Hausfeld LLP are appointed as Settlement Class Counsel, and that Plaintiffs		
25	Meor Adlin, Franklin Ajaye, Andrew Barton, Rachel Diller, Scott Fredrick, David Kuo, Dickson		
26	Leung, Brendan Maloof, Donald Wortman, Harley Oda, Roy Onomura, Shinsuke Kobayashi,		
27	Patricia Lee, Nancy Kajiyama, Della Ewing Chow and James Kawaguchi are appointed to serve as		
28	Class Representatives on behalf of the Settlement Class.		
	[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL AS TO QANTAS AIRWAYS LIMITED-2-CASE NO. 07-CV-05634-CRB		

Case3:07-cv-05634-CRB Document1017 Filed06/15/15 Page4 of 5

8. The person identified on Exhibit B to the Declaration of Joel Botzet in support of
Plaintiffs' motion for final approval of the Class Settlements (*see* ECF No. 999-19) has timely and
validly requested exclusion from the Settlement Class and, therefore, is excluded. Such person is
not included in or bound by this final judgment.

9. Upon the Effective Date, all Releasing Parties shall be permanently barred and enjoined from instituting, commencing, prosecuting or asserting any Released Claim against any of the Released Parties.

The Court has finally approved a total of eight settlements between the Class 10. 8 Representatives and Japan Airlines Company, Ltd., Société Air France, Vietnam Airlines Company 9 Limited, Thai Airways International Public Co., Ltd. ("Thai Airways"), Malaysian Airline System 10 Berhad, Qantas Airways Limited, Cathay Pacific Airways, Ltd. ("Cathay Pacific"), and Singapore 11 Airlines Limited (collectively the "Settlement Agreements") in the total amount of \$39,502,000.00, 12 approved an award of attorneys' fees in the amount of \$9,000,000.00, approved reimbursement to 13 Class Counsel of expenses in the amount of \$2,807,699.73, approved a litigation fund of 14 \$3,000,000.00, and approved an award of \$7,500.00 for each of the Class Representatives 15 (collectively the "Approved Fees and Costs") (see ECF No. 1009). 16

11. There were no objections lodged with respect to the settlements between the Class
Representatives and Qantas (*see* ECF No. 1001, Order Granting Stipulation Regarding Partial
Withdrawal of Objection of Amy Yang).

20 12. The Approved Fees and Costs shall be allocated pro-rata to each of the Settlement
 21 Agreements.

This Court hereby dismisses on the merits and with prejudice the Action against
 Defendant, with each party to bear its own costs and attorneys' fees.

14. Without affecting the finality of this final judgment in any way, this Court hereby
retains continuing jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of the terms of the Settlement Agreement
and any distribution to members of the Settlement Class pursuant to further orders of this Court; (b)
hearing and ruling on any matters relating to the plan of allocation of the settlement proceeds; and
(c) all parties to the Action and Releasing Parties, for the purpose of enforcing and administering

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

1	Case3:07-cv-05634-CRB Do	cument1017 Filed06/15/15 Page5 of 5	
1	the Settlement Agreement and the mutual releases and other documents contemplated by, or		
2	executed in connection with the Settlement Agreement.		
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
4	D . 1 1 1 2015	F	
5	Dated July 11, 2015	HON. CHARLES R. BREYER	
6		United States District Court Judge	
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL AS TO		
	QANTAS AIRWAYS LIMITED	-4- CASE NO. 07-CV-05634-CRB	