

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

**IN RE TRANSPACIFIC PASSENGER
AIR TRANSPORTATION
ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Civil Case No. 3:07-cv-05634-CRB

MDL No. 1913

**This Document Relates To:
ALL ACTIONS**

~~**[PROPOSED]**~~ **ORDER GRANTING FINAL
APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENTS WITH
DEFENDANTS PHILIPPINE AIRLINES,
INC., AIR NEW ZEALAND LIMITED,
CHINA AIRLINES, LTD., AND EVA
AIRWAYS CORPORATION**

1 This matter has come before the Court to determine whether there is any cause why this
2 Court should not approve the settlements with Philippine Airlines, Inc. (“PAL”), Air New Zealand
3 Limited (“ANZ”), China Airlines, Ltd. (“CAL”), and EVA Airways Corporation (“EVA”)
4 (collectively, “Settling Defendants”). The Court, having reviewed the motion, the Settlement
5 Agreements, the pleadings and other papers on file in this litigation, and the statements of counsel
6 and the parties, including at the September 14, 2018 final approval hearing, hereby finds that: (1)
7 the settlements should be finally approved, and (2) that there is no just reason for delay of the
8 entry of this Final Judgment approving these Settlement Agreements. Accordingly, the Court
9 directs entry of Judgment which shall constitute a final adjudication of this case on the merits as
10 to the parties to the Agreements. Good cause appearing therefore:

11 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

12 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation, and all actions
13 within this litigation (collectively, “Action”), and over the parties to the Settlement Agreements,
14 including all members of the Settlement Class and the Settling Defendants.

15 2. The Court hereby finally approves and confirms the settlements set forth in the
16 Settlement Agreements between the Class Representatives and the Settling Defendants, and finds
17 that said settlements are, in all respects, fair, reasonable and adequate to the Settlement Classes
18 pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (“Rule”) 23.

19 3. The following Classes are certified for settlement purposes, pursuant to Rule 23:

20 **PAL SETTLEMENT CLASS**

21 All persons and entities that purchased passenger air transportation originating in
22 the United States that included at least one flight segment to Asia or Oceania, from
23 Defendants or their co-conspirators, or any predecessor, subsidiary, or affiliate
24 thereof, at any time between January 1, 2000 and December 1, 2016. Excluded from
25 the class are governmental entities, Defendants, former Defendants in the Action,
any parent, subsidiary or affiliate thereof, and Defendants’ officers, directors,
employees and immediate families.

26 **ANZ SETTLEMENT CLASS**

27 All persons and entities that purchased passenger air transportation originating in
28 the United States that included at least one flight segment to Asia or Oceania, from
Defendants or their alleged co-conspirators, or any predecessor, subsidiary, or

1 affiliate thereof, at any time between January 1, 2000 and December 1, 2016.
2 Excluded from the class are governmental entities, Defendants, former Defendants
3 in the Action, any parent, subsidiary or affiliate thereof, and Defendants' officers,
4 directors, employees and immediate families.

5 **CAL SETTLEMENT CLASS**

6 All persons and entities that purchased passenger air transportation originating in
7 the United States that included at least one flight segment to Asia or Oceania, from
8 Defendants, or any predecessor, subsidiary, or affiliate thereof, at any time between
9 January 1, 2000 and December 1, 2016. Excluded from the class are governmental
10 entities, Defendants, former Defendants in the Action, any parent, subsidiary or
11 affiliate thereof, and Defendants' officers, directors, employees and immediate
12 families.

13 **EVA SETTLEMENT CLASS**

14 All persons and entities that purchased passenger air transportation originating in
15 the United States that included at least one flight segment to Asia or Oceania, from
16 Defendants, or any predecessor, subsidiary, or affiliate thereof, at any time between
17 January 1, 2000 and December 1, 2016. Excluded from the class are governmental
18 entities, Defendants, former Defendants in the Action, any parent, subsidiary or
19 affiliate thereof, and Defendants' officers, directors, employees and immediate
20 families.

21 4. These settlement classes shall be referred to herein as the Settlement Classes.

22 5. For purposes of these Settlement Classes, the term Defendants shall be defined as
23 set forth in each of the respective Settlement Agreements. Where co-conspirators are referenced
24 in a settlement class definition, the term co-conspirators means: American Airlines; Asiana
25 Airlines; British Airways; Continental Airlines; Delta Airlines; Korean Air Lines; KLM Royal
26 Dutch Airlines; Lufthansa; Northwest Airlines; Scandinavian Airlines System; Swiss
27 International; United Airlines; and Virgin Atlantic Airways.

28 6. The Court finds the prerequisites to a class action under Rule 23(a) have been
satisfied for settlement purposes by each of the Settlement Classes in that:

- a. There are hundreds of thousands of putative members of the Settlement
Classes, making joinder of all members impracticable;
- b. There are questions of fact and law that are common to all members of the
Settlement Classes;

1 c. The claims of the Class Representatives are typical of those of the absent
2 members of the Settlement Classes; and

3 d. Plaintiffs Meor Adlin, Franklin Ajaye, Andrew Barton, Rachel Diller,
4 Scott Fredrick, David Kuo, Dickson Leung, Brendan Maloof, Donald
5 Wortman, Harley Oda, Roy Onomura, Shinsuke Kobayashi, Patricia Lee,
6 Nancy Kajiyama, Della Ewing Chow, James Kawaguchi, and Sharon
7 Christian (“Class Representatives”) have and will fairly and adequately
8 protect the interests of the absent members of the relevant Settlement Class
9 or Classes and have retained counsel experienced in complex antitrust class
10 action litigation who have and will continue to adequately advance the
11 interests of the Settlement Classes.

12 7. The Court finds that this Action may be maintained as a class action under Rule
13 23(b)(3) for settlement because: (i) questions of fact and law common to the members of the
14 Settlement Classes predominate over any questions affecting only the claims of individual
15 members; and (ii) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient
16 adjudication of this controversy.

17 8. Pursuant to Rule 23(g), the Court hereby confirms that Cotchett, Pitre &
18 McCarthy, LLP and Hausfeld LLP are appointed as Settlement Class Counsel, and that Plaintiffs
19 Meor Adlin, Franklin Ajaye, Andrew Barton, Rachel Diller, Scott Fredrick, David Kuo, Dickson
20 Leung, Brendan Maloof, Donald Wortman, Harley Oda, Roy Onomura, Shinsuke Kobayashi,
21 Patricia Lee, Nancy Kajiyama, Della Ewing Chow, and James Kawaguchi are appointed to serve
22 as Class Representatives on behalf of the Settlement Classes. In addition to the foregoing, Sharon
23 Christian is also appointed to serve as a Class Representative for the EVA Settlement Class.

24 9. Attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as **Exhibit A** is a list of those
25 settlement class members who have opted out of the foregoing settlements. The sole opt-out to
26 this round of settlements has timely and validly requested exclusion from the Settlement Classes
27 and, therefore, is excluded. Such person is not included in or bound by the Final Judgments. Such
28

1 person is not entitled to any recovery of the settlement proceeds obtained through these Class
2 Settlements.

3 10. One individual, Bruce Wheatley, filed a timely objection to the Class Settlements.
4 Mr. Wheatley's objection failed to comply with the long form notice provided on the website
5 established by Kinsella Media (www.airlinesettlement.com) because it did not attach any
6 documents concerning his purchases of transpacific passenger air transportation that would
7 constitute proof of membership in the Settlement Classes. Assuming his assertions that
8 "[b]etween 2009 and 2017, [he] traveled via Philippine Airlines, between Los Angeles California
9 and Manila, Philippines" and that he made "15 round trip flights" are true (ECF No. 1203),
10 however, Mr. Wheatley would be a class member, and the Court considers his objection in
11 connection with all four settlements. After a thorough review of his arguments, and Plaintiffs'
12 responses thereto, the Court finds his objection to be meritless. Accordingly, Mr. Wheatley's
13 objection is hereby overruled.

14 11. This Court hereby dismisses on the merits and with prejudice the Actions against
15 the Settling Defendants, with each party to bear their own costs and attorneys' fees.

16 12. All persons and entities who are Releasing Parties under the terms of the
17 Settlement Agreements are hereby barred and enjoined from commencing, prosecuting or
18 continuing, either directly or indirectly, against the Settling Defendants, in this or any other
19 jurisdiction, any and all claims, causes of action or lawsuits, which they had, have or in the future
20 may have arising out of or related to any of the settled claims as defined in the Settlement
21 Agreements.

22 13. The Released Parties as defined in the Settlement Agreements are hereby and
23 forever released and discharged with respect to any and all claims or causes of action which the
24 Releasing Parties had or have arising out of or related to any of the settled claims as defined in
25 the Settlement Agreements.

26 14. The notice given to the Settlement Classes of the settlements set forth in the
27 Settlement Agreements, and as approved by the Court at the time of preliminary approval, was
28 the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including a multi-part notice program through

1 paid media, earned media, press releases, online media and the establishment of a toll-free
2 number. According to the notice expert retained by Class Counsel, direct notice via postcard
3 reached 97.65 percent of all class members who filed a claim for the previous settlements; direct
4 notice via email reached potential class members with a 95.8 percent delivery success rate; paid
5 media delivery reached an estimated 70 percent of U.S. Foreign Travelers an average estimated
6 frequency of 2.3 times; and the nationwide press release was viewed 749 times. Said notice
7 provided due and adequate notice of those proceedings and of the matters set forth therein,
8 including the proposed settlements set forth in the Settlement Agreements, to persons entitled to
9 such notice, and said notice fully satisfied the requirements of Rules 23(c)(2) and 23(e)(1) and the
10 requirements of due process.

11 15. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby
12 retains continuing jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of these settlements and any distribution
13 to members of the Settlement Classes pursuant to further orders of this Court; (b) disposition of
14 the Settlement Fund; (c) determining attorneys' fees, costs, expenses, interest and Class
15 Representative incentive awards; (d) the Action until the Final Judgment contemplated hereby
16 has become effective and each and every act agreed to be performed by the parties all have been
17 performed pursuant to the Settlement Agreements; (e) hearing and ruling on any matters relating
18 to the plan of allocation of settlement proceeds; and (f) all parties to the Action and Releasing
19 Parties, for the purpose of enforcing and administering the Settlement Agreements and the mutual
20 releases and other documents contemplated by, or executed in connection with the Agreement.

21 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

22
23 Dated: _____

24 _____
25 **THE HONORABLE CHARLES R. BREYER**
26 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

EXHIBIT A

TRANSPACIFIC AIR PASSENGER EXCLUSION REQUESTS

SEQ	Name1	Name2	Exclusion Request Timely
1	Elaine K Gano		Yes