Case 3:07-cv-05634-CRB Docu	ument 1257 Filed 10/11/18 Page 1 of 6		
Joseph W. Cotchett (36324) Adam J. Zapala (245748) Elizabeth T. Castillo (280502) <b>COTCHETT, PITRE &amp; McCARTHY, I</b> 840 Malcolm Road Burlingame, CA 94010 Phone: (650) 697-6000 Fax: (650) 697-0577 jcotchett@cpmlegal.com azapala@cpmlegal.com ecastillo@cpmlegal.com <i>Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs</i>	600 Montgomery Street, Suite 3200 San Francisco, CA 94111 Phone: (415) 633-1908 Fax: (415) 358-4980 mlehmann@hausfeld.com clebsock@hausfeld.com sgassman@hausfeld.com		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
IN RE TRANSPACIFIC PASSENGER AIR TRANSPORTATION ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Civil Case No. 3:07-cv-05634-CRB MDL No. 1913		
This Document Relates To: ALL ACTIONS	<b>[PROPOSED</b> ] FINAL JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE AS TO DEFENDANT EVA AIRWAYS CORPORATION		
	Joseph W. Cotchett (36324) Adam J. Zapala (245748) Elizabeth T. Castillo (280502) <b>COTCHETT, PITRE &amp; McCARTHY, I</b> 840 Malcolm Road Burlingame, CA 94010 Phone: (650) 697-6000 Fax: (650) 697-0577 jcotchett@cpmlegal.com azapala@cpmlegal.com ecastillo@cpmlegal.com <i>Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs</i> <b>UNITED STAT</b> <b>NORTHERN DIS</b> <b>SAN FRAM</b> <b>IN RE TRANSPACIFIC PASSENGER</b> <b>AIR TRANSPORTATION</b> <b>IN RE TRANSPORTATION</b> <b>This Document Relates To:</b>		

1 This matter has come before the Court to determine whether there is any just reason for 2 delay of the entry of this final judgment with respect to the class action settlement with Defendant 3 EVA Airways Corporation ("EVA") (sometimes referred to herein as "Defendant"). The Court, 4 having reviewed Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Settlements with Defendants Philippine 5 Airlines, Inc. ("PAL"), Air New Zealand Limited ("ANZ"), China Airlines, Ltd. ("CAL"), and 6 EVA ("Final Approval Motion") and Plaintiffs' Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees, 7 Reimbursement of Expenses, and Class Representative Incentive Awards (ECF No. 1227-29), 8 having held argument on said motions on September 14, 2018, and finding no just reason for 9 delay, hereby directs entry of Judgment which shall constitute a final adjudication of this case on 10 the merits as to members of the Settlement Class and EVA pursuant to the Settlement Agreement 11 Between Plaintiffs and EVA ("Settlement Agreement") (see ECF No. 1129-2):

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation, and all actions within this litigation (collectively, "Action") and over the parties to the Settlement Agreement, including all members of the Settlement Class and Defendant.

16 2. The following class is certified for settlement purposes only, pursuant to Federal
17 Rule of Civil Procedure ("Rule") 23:

EVA SETTLEMENT CLASS: All persons and entities that purchased passenger air transportation originating in the United States that included at least one flight segment to Asia or Oceania, from Defendants, or any predecessor, subsidiary, or affiliate thereof, at any time between January 1, 2000 and December 1, 2016. Excluded from the class are governmental entities, Defendants, former Defendants in the Action, any parent, subsidiary or affiliate thereof, and Defendants' officers, directors, employees and immediate families.

3. This settlement class in the Settlement Agreement shall be referred to herein as the

"Settlement Class."

4. For purposes of this Order, the terms "Defendants," "Effective Date," "Released Claims," "Releasing Parties," and "Released Parties" shall be defined as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

28

5. The Court finds the prerequisites to a class action under Rule 23(a) have been

satisfied for settlement purposes by each of the Settlement Classes in that:

There are hundreds of thousands of putative members of the Settlement a. Class, making joinder of all members impracticable; b. There are questions of fact and law that are common to all members of the Settlement Class; The claims of the Class Representatives are typical of those of the absent c. members of the Settlement Class; and d. Plaintiffs Meor Adlin, Franklin Ajave, Andrew Barton, Rachel Diller, Scott Fredrick, David Kuo, Dickson Leung, Brendan Maloof, Donald Wortman, Harley Oda, Roy Onomura, Shinsuke Kobayashi, Patricia Lee, Nancy Kajiyama, Della Ewing Chow, James Kawaguchi, and Sharon Christian ("Class Representatives") have and will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the absent members of the Settlement Class and have retained counsel experienced in complex antitrust class action litigation who have and will continue to adequately advance the interests of the Settlement Class.

6. The Court finds that this Action may be maintained as a class action under Rule 23(b)(3) for settlement because: (i) questions of fact and law common to the members of the Settlement Class predominate over any questions affecting only the claims of individual members; and (ii) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

7. Pursuant to Rule 23(g), the Court hereby confirms that Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP and Hausfeld LLP are appointed as Settlement Class Counsel and that Plaintiffs Meor Adlin, Franklin Ajaye, Andrew Barton, Rachel Diller, Scott Fredrick, David Kuo, Dickson Leung, Brendan Maloof, Donald Wortman, Harley Oda, Roy Onomura, Shinsuke Kobayashi, Patricia Lee, Nancy Kajiyama, Della Ewing Chow, James Kawaguchi, and Sharon Christian are appointed to serve as Class Representatives on behalf of the Settlement Class.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

8. The person identified in **Exhibit A** to this Final Judgment has timely and validly

requested exclusion from the Settlement Classes and, therefore, is excluded. Such person is not
 included in or bound by this final judgment.

9. Upon the Effective Date, all Releasing Parties shall be permanently barred and enjoined from instituting, commencing, prosecuting or asserting any Released Claim against any of the Released Parties.

10. The Court has finally approved a total of four settlements between the Class Representatives and PAL, ANZ, CAL, and EVA (collectively, "Settlement Agreements") in the total amount of \$50,650,000.

11. The award of attorneys' fees, reimbursement of litigation expenses, and incentive payment to a Class Representative granted by the Court in connection with the PAL, ANZ, CAL, and EVA settlements shall be allocated pro-rata to each of the Settlement Agreements.

12. This Court hereby dismisses on the merits and with prejudice the Action against Defendant, with each party to bear its own costs and attorneys' fees.

13. Without affecting the finality of this final judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of the terms of the Settlement Agreement and any distribution to members of the Settlement Class pursuant to further orders of this Court; (b) hearing and ruling on any matters relating to the plan of allocation of the settlement proceeds; and (c) all parties to the Action and Releasing Parties, for the purpose of enforcing and administering the Settlement Agreement and the mutual releases and other documents contemplated by, or executed in connection with the Settlement Agreement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 11, 2018

THE HONORABLE CHARLES R. BREYER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

## **EXHIBIT** A

## TRANSPACIFIC AIR PASSENGER EXCLUSION REQUESTS

SEQ	Name1	Name2	Exclusion Request Timely
1	Elaine K Gano		Yes